

Ethical Leadership as a Predictor of Work Deviance Among Public Sector Workers in Administrative Offices in the Cape Coast Metropolis, Ghana: A Structural Equation Modelling Approach

Dandy George Dampson
University of Education, Winneba

The study focused on ethical leadership and work behaviours of public sector workers in administrative offices in Ghana. The quantitative cross-sectional survey was adopted to survey all public sector workers in active service. Ninety-two respondents were recruited online for the study. Data for the study were gathered using adapted ethical leadership and work deviance scales. Data collected were analyzed inferentially using the structural equation modelling (SEM) approach. The study revealed that people orientation ($b=.222, p=.000$), fairness ($b=.351, p=.000$), concern for sustainability ($b=.432, p=.000$), and ethical guidance ($b=-.287, p=.000$) significantly predicted work deviance. The study concluded that a high ethical leadership style could either maximize or minimize the likelihood of deviant work behaviour at the workplace. It is recommended that public sector leaders should first identify the root cause of work deviance in the workplaces within the Metropolis and put measures such as positive rewards, effective supervision, and infusion of ethical programmes into the workplace culture to minimize work deviance among administrative officers.

Keywords: ethical leadership, work deviance, public sector, workers

INTRODUCTION

In modern workplaces, all leadership styles are essential, but ethical leadership is crucial, especially in the African and Ghanaian setting because the tenets of politics, culture, and religion are deep-rooted and connected to leadership in Ghana (Sampson, 2021). Work ethic is a cultural norm that adds spiritual and positive worth to doing a good job. Because of the increasing complexity of businesses and the development in unethical and unlawful work conditions in most organizations globally, managers and experts have considered work ethic and ethical management to minimize work deviance and provide crucial ethical counsel to staff (Poursadegh & Faridpour, 2018). Research posits that leadership behaviours impact employee commitment and job satisfaction, impacting turnover (Elci, Şener, Aksoy, & Alpkan, 2012). This implies that leaders significantly impact society or organizations (Yasir & Mohamad, 2016). According to Kanungo and Mendonca (1996), moral cynicism is caused when a leader's behaviour does not align with common moral values (p. 6).

Ethical Leadership

Ethical leadership is “the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, and promoting such conduct to followers through two-way

communication, reinforcement, and decision-making” (Brown, Treviño, & Harrison, 2005, p. 120). Various theorists have defined ethical leadership. Leadership is based on normative rules and values manifested by behaviours, actions, decisions, and the executive methods of encouraging or punishing ethical or unethical behaviours in the organizational space (Brown & Trevino, 2006). To make fair decisions, care for subordinates' feelings, and create fair work environments (De Hoogh & Den Hartog, 2008). The leader's influence on employee behaviour and leadership's ethical aspect is vital (Trevino, Hartman, & Brown, 2000). Studies have shown that ethical leaders instil ethical behaviour in their subordinates (Brown & Trevino, 2006) and may also contribute to an organization and society development (Derr, 2012). Without ethical leadership, organizations may end up harming the entire world” (p. 66). This concept of ethical leadership arose, focusing on the moral and ethical dimensions of leadership behaviour which has similar characteristics to that of the African context (Brown & Trevino, 2006). Notwithstanding, other studies have also shown that negative management and leadership styles (authoritarian and dictatorial relationships regardless of employees' dignity, needs, abilities, and limitations) increases employee tension and anxiety (Brown & Trevino, 2006, Lambert, Leuz, & Verrecchia, 2007). Instead, positive aspects of managers' behaviour help employees relax about their roles, responsibilities, authority, contradictions, conflicts, and feelings of disability (Lambert, Leuz, & Verrecchia, 2007). From the ongoing discussion, ethical leadership can influence employees at all levels through ethical space and pattern processes. Ethical leadership includes three aspects: fairness, power-sharing, and role clarification (Kalshoven, Den Hartog & De Hoogh, 2011). However, Brown, Treviño, and Harrison (2005) add ethical guidance, honesty, tolerance, and people-oriented leadership. Brown et al. (2005) argue that ethical leaders should let followers present their ideas and listen to their concerns rather than just involving followers in decision making.

According to Bandura's Social Learning Theory, leaders must be reliable active models for their followers to regard them as ethical (Brown & Trevino, 2006). The theory fosters organizational health, honesty, and trustworthiness by incorporating values and attitudes into daily behaviours, decision-making, and encore (Tutar & Mehmet, 2011). In this regard, Vecchio (2000) found a link between leader-member exchange (LMX) and employee work deviance and concluded that leaders could adopt LMX to minimize work deviance. Kim, O'Neill, and Cho (2010) examined the impact of leader-member exchange (LMX) on employee work deviance and organizational citizenship. They thought work deviance was inappropriate work behaviour. They also concluded that low LMX increases work deviance and decrease organizational citizenship behaviours. Nandedkar (2011) also found that leader-member exchange reduces envy and reduces employee knowledge sharing. Envy also influences the non-citizenship behaviours of employees (Mohammadzadeh, Mortazavi, Lagzian, & Rahimnia, 2015). According to the researchers (Nandedkar 2011, Kaptein, 2011), today's managers have to demonstrate that organizations require more than just rules and regulations, and that tool is called ethics. Furthermore, Experts believe that combining ethics and law is necessary to replace social control with self-control. Work ethic has recently been given much attention. The perception of ethics is the foundation for creating and maintaining an ethical system in society and, thus, in organizations (Kaptein, 2011; Dampson, 2021).

Work Deviance

Deviant workplace behaviours are done on purpose by members of an organization and are in direct opposition to the organization's goals and interests. Workplace counterproductive actions can range from low-intensity behaviours like rumour mongering and inappropriate internet use to more severe behaviours like harassment, sabotage, theft, and physical assault (Gruys & Sackett, 2003). Employees and the organization can both suffer as a result of deviant behaviour. According to Case's analysis, almost 95 percent of businesses are vulnerable to employee theft and deceit. Because of the detrimental financial and personal implications of deviant actions in the workplace, identifying the antecedents of such behaviours is critical. One of these precursors is the perception of rejection at work (Hitlan & Noel, 2009).

Bennett and Robinson (2000) proposed a model of workplace deviant behaviour in which deviant behaviour is the outcome of the interaction between personality features and job evaluations. Several research has found a link between personality factors and workplace deviant conduct. In a similar study,

Robinson and Bennett posit that activities considered aberrant in the workplace are also unethical. The genuine distinction between ethical conduct and deviant behaviour is that ethical behaviours are based on actual or false rules and rules such as justice, law, or other valuable ethical principles. In contrast, deviant behaviours are focused on behaviours that break the organization's norms. As a result, it is reasonable to conclude that the prediction of deviant behaviours based on collective employee judgments of workplace principles and ethics is logical (Robinson & Bennett, 1995).

Peterson classified the elements explaining deviant behaviours in the workplace into individual, social, interpersonal, and organizational aspects, citing Boye and Jones (1997). Individual traits such as perceptual and cognitive features and organizational aspects such as space and organizational climate can all have a role in the prevalence of deviant behaviours in the workplace.

Furthermore, Robinson and Bennett (1995) proposed that workplace deviant conduct is an individual and planned activity that is referred to as negative deviant behaviour in the workplace; yet it violates organizational norms and occurs in two forms: interpersonal and organizational (Robinson & Bennett, 1995). This implies that employees are continually questioning either the organization's work (deviant organizational behaviour) or the performance of its members (deviant interpersonal behaviour), or both (Appelbaum, Iaconi, & Matousek, 2007). Organizational deviance, in reality, is a set of behaviours shared by a person and an organization (e.g., coming late at work). Interpersonal deviant behaviour includes embarrassing one another, quarrelling, and behaving rudely in the workplace (Henley, Giacalone, & Jurkiewicz, 2005).

Many companies have tried to predict their employees' aberrant conduct while still on the job. Mo and Shi (2017) argue that people intentionally choose to engage in these behaviours because their personality traits are more likely to impact on them than ability-related factors. Personality has the potential to influence anti-deviant behaviour through influencing people's perceptions, assessments of the environment, documentation of the events' causes, emotional responses, and ability to avoid aggressiveness and display deviant behaviours (Spector, 2011).

Different scholars have identified, introduced, and researched deviant unproductive behaviours. For example, Golparvar and Salahshoor (2016) looked into the structural model of the relationship between destructive leadership and the employees' tendency for violence and deviant behaviour. According to them, leadership and, more specifically, leadership styles are among the most significant elements in employee behaviour in the workplace. They claimed that the proclivity for violence is a mediating factor in the link between destructive leadership and deviant behaviour. Ely, Penney, Ispas and Iliescu (2012) explored the association between organizational pressures and counterproductive deviant behaviours. They discovered a link between organizational pressures (a poor and damaging relationship with supervisors) and counterproductive deviant behaviours. It is noted that destructive leaders engage in damaging activities with their staff, causing them to be prone to violence and deviant behaviour. Destructive leadership has a significantly negative relationship with a positive attitude toward the leader, well-being, and employee performance, according to (Schyns & Schilling, 2013), but it has a significantly positive relationship with willingness to turnover, counterproductive behaviours, resistance and disobedience to the leader (Golparvar & Salahshoor, 2016).

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Several theories have been used to study workplace aberrant behaviour. Bandura's Social Learning Theory (SLT) is one of the most popular ideas (Bandura, 1991). This theory considers ethics to be a significant predictor of bad behaviour intention. Ethical leadership allows leaders to model appropriate behaviour that subordinates will replicate while also learning from employees' good ideals and incorporating them into the organization. Being a role model in the organization allows leaders to motivate employees to follow the organization's laws and regulations. Recent research shows a negative association between ethical leadership and deviant behaviour (Aryati, Sudiro, Hadiwidjaja, & Noermijati, 2017; Sims & Brinkman, (2002). SLT also highlights the importance of role modelling, whereby organizations' excellent values will have a chain impact on employees. Leaders are seen as legitimate role models for

sound principles. Also, as leaders can reprimand or reward personnel for unethical or ethical behaviour, they must possess excellent virtues. Employees must learn about corporate ethical behaviour by monitoring their surroundings and personal experiences, according to SLT (Bandura, 1989).

Blau's Social Exchange Theory (Cropanzo & Mitchell, 2005) is also widely used to understand employer behaviour. The hypothesis suggests that corporate citizenship behaviour and perceived organizational support are two predictors of employee behaviour. This approach emphasizes the positive interaction between employer and employee (Coyle-Shapiro & Conway, 2005). Hence, poor treatment by superiors or leaders in organizations causes unhappiness among individuals or employees. Employees who work in challenging and unpleasant environments are more likely to show insulting behaviour.

The General Strain Theory [GST] (Agnew, 2001) explains aberrant workplace behaviour as unfavourable behaviours that lead to workplace deviance. GST has three essential components. The first component is about stimuli and goals and how people can be affected by not reaching goals, dangerous stimuli, or lack of regarded stimuli. The second component is the conditioning factors that influence deviant or non-deviant behaviour when faced with stress. The last component represents the idea that individuals are prone to behave defiantly to lessen strain in the absence of favourable adaptations. This idea has been used in studies involving government employees (Alias, 2013; Radzali, 2015) on workplace aberrant behaviour.

The social control theory also explains aberrant workplace behaviour (Hirschi, 1969). Families and religion are essential subjective norms under this notion. The hypothesis suggests that focusing on family and spirituality can significantly minimize aberrant behaviour. This hypothesis can assess an employee's spirituality concerning their work attitude and behaviour. Hirschi (1969) defines social norm deviation as four distinct concepts: attachment, commitment, participation, and belief (Young & Bucklen, 2011). The degree of social bonding with parents, friends, teachers, religious leaders, and other community members is an essential social bonding factor (Young & Bucklen, 2011, p.7). It is a social, professional and economic investment in society. "Idle hands are the devil's workshop," therefore, involvement is actively participating in prosocial tasks that allow less time for social deviance (Young & Bucklen, 2011). The fourth notion, belief, states that those who believe in social standards are less likely to defy those standards (Young & Bucklen, 2011).

Moreover, several research studies have identified various deviant working practices, with administrators and organizations heavily involved (Salmani & Radmand, 2009). Furthermore, failure to address employee mental health concerns such as personal and organizational deviance causes numerous issues for the company, including non-citizenship behaviours, loss of self-respect, and job loss. Work deviance is an uncomfortable emotion shared by all people regardless of culture (Smith & Kim, 2007). Unfavourable comparisons cause this emotion. According to previous research, leaders who treat their subordinates fairly and emphasize the importance of ethics reduce deviant behaviour (Smith & Kim, 2007).

Moreover, Demirtas, Hannah, Gok, Arslan, and Capar (2017) found that ethical leadership reduces employee deviance. Thus, prior research shows a negative link between ethical leadership and workplace deviance (Mayer, Aquino, Greenbaum, and Kuenzi, 2012; Mo & Shi, 2017). In a study among the Chinese working class, Liu and Loi (2012) found a significant positive effect of ethical leadership on work deviance, but the effect size was small. Contrarily, a study among Iranian by Poursadegh and Faridpour (2018) found that data have supported the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable, and the path which relates these two variables is significantly negative (it is significant at the error level of 5 percent). This showed that, with 95 per cent confidence, the increased ethical leadership decreases deviant work behaviour. According to the findings of a study conducted by Aryati, Sudiro, Hadiwidjaja, and Noermijati (2018), ethical leadership can influence the establishment of an ethical atmosphere in an organization, in turn, has the potential to impact deviant behaviour in the workplace negatively. The findings also suggest that ethical leadership impacts deviant behaviour in the workplace, either directly or indirectly, depending on the situation. Among the indirect consequences, this ethical leadership is a better perception of the ethical climate in the workplace, which will eventually lead to a reduction in deviant behaviour in the workplace.

THE GHANAIAN CONTEXT

Ethical leadership is an essential component of work productivity because it can influence the workplace behaviours of employees. In Ghana, the working class is more than those who are not gainfully engaged (Addai, Avor, Ofori, & Tweneboah, 2019). Addai et al. (2019) argue that among those who are engaged, the majority of them are public sector workers. With these public sector workers dominating the working class, it is rumoured that several deviant work behaviours emanate from ethical leadership in most public institutions (Dampson, 2021). For example, in recent times, there was a rift between leaders and subordinates of the Ghana Airport Company as workers alleged leaders were insensitive to their plight as management planned to cede responsibility to a private company (Myjoyonline, 2020). A good number of studies have been conducted in Ghana with regards to ethical leadership, productive work attitudes and organizational climate in the private sector (Addai, Avor, Ofori, & Tweneboah, 2019; Kumasey, 2018; Boakye, 2017; Yeboah-Assiamah, Asamoah, Bawole, & Buabeng, 2016; Arthur, 2015) but less is known about leadership's ethical dimensions and work deviance in the public sector. Scholars argue that since few studies have examined the link between ethical leadership and workplace deviance, an in-depth research is needed to clarify the relationship (Mo & Shi, 2017; Neves & Story, 2015). In a study conducted in a public sector in the Central Region of Ghana, Dankyi, Antwi-Danso, and Dampson (2020) posit that many leaders exhibited the following characteristics of ethical leadership at the workplace-fairness, role sharing and power classification. They posit that ethical leadership worked fairly well among leaders in the public sector because most Ghanaians are religious and culture fanatics. Dampson (2021) affirmed and posited that because leadership in the Ghanaian context is culturally and religiously linked, many Ghanaian Senior High School leaders have been able to minimize work deviance such as lateness, absenteeism, and other unprofessional behaviour in their schools by adopting ethical leadership. From the ongoing discussions, it can be deduced that the social control theory, which has its roots in culture and religion, is the most commonly adopted by leaders to minimize or eliminate deviant behaviours at the workplace in most Ghanaian institutions. Therefore, the current study was designed to examine the impact of ethical leadership relationships on employees' deviant conduct, utilizing samples from public sector workers in the Cape Coast Metropolis. Thus, this study tests the hypothesis as:

H1: Ethical leadership will predict workplace deviance

METHODOLOGY

The study is a quantitative cross-sectional survey design. The design meets the requirement of the study because different public sector workers from different public institutions were surveyed. With an unknown population, a sample of 92 public sector workers was surveyed through WhatsApp platforms (online google forms). The online data collection was employed because of the human restrictions imposed due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The online data collection was made possible after the researcher reached out to sectional heads of the various state-owned institutions in the Cape Coast Metropolis. The sample comprised of those with a minimum of Senior High School Qualification. All public sector employees in the Cape Coast Metropolis without the minimum educational level requirement were excluded with those on retirement. Adapted Likert-type scales were used to gather the needed data for the study. The scales were Deviant Workplace Questionnaire [2-dimensions; 18-items; $\alpha=.828$] developed by Bennet and Robinson (2000) and Ethical Leadership at Work [7-dimensions; 38-items; $\alpha=.923$] developed by Kalshoven, Den Hartog and De Hoogh (2011). Informed consent forms were attached to the adapted scales so that respondents could indicate their willingness to partake in the study than being compelled to do so. The data collected were electronically encrypted to avoid third-party access so that confidential information and the anonymity of respondents could be ensured. The data were analyzed inferentially using structural equation modelling (SEM).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data were inferentially analyzed with the structural equation modelling. This was made possible after data cleaning and statistical testing assumptions. The dimensions of ethical leadership were used to regress the employee work deviance. Results are presented below:


TABLE 1
DEMOGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION

	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
Male	62	67.4
Female	30	32.6
Total	92	100
Age		
Below 25	1	1.1
25 – 35	75	81.5
36 – 45	13	14.1
46 – 55	2	2.2
Above 55	1	1.1
Total	92	100
Highest Level of Education		
SHS	2	2.2
Diploma/ HND	15	16.3
Degree	55	59.8
Masters/PHD	20	29.7
Total	92	100
Length of Service in Organisation		
Less than 2 years	24	26.1
2 -5 years	53	57.6
6 – 10 years	8	8.7
Over 10 years	7	7.6
Total	92	100
Category of Employment		
National Service	12	13.1
NABCO	40	43.5
Permanent Staff	32	34.8
Other	8	8.7
Total	92	100

Source: Online Data (2021)

H1: Ethical leadership will predict workplace deviance

**FIGURE 1
STRUCTURAL MODEL**



The structural model indicates the covariance of the dimensions of ethical leadership and the recursive contributions to deviant work behaviours of employees. Table 2 gives further explanation.

TABLE 2
REGRESSION WEIGHTS

Parameters			Estimate Uns	S.E.	C.R.	P	Estimate Std	Decision
DWB	<---	PO	.222	.197	6.655	.000	.175	Accepted
DWB	<---	F	.351	.202	6.641	.000	.220	Accepted
DWB	<---	PS	.025	.191	6.598	.000	.014	Accepted
DWB	<---	CS	.432	.649	6.688	.000	.121	Accepted
DWB	<---	EG	-.287	.224	-6.609	.000	-.209	Accepted
DWB	<---	RC	-.077	.243	-6.666	.000	-.058	Accepted
DWB	<---	I	-.090	.513	-6.651	.000	-.041	Accepted

Source: Online Data (2021)

Table 2 shows results for the dimensions such as people orientation (PO), fairness (F), power-sharing (PS), concern for sustainability (CS), ethical guidance (EG), role clarification (RC), and integrity (I) under ethical leadership. The study revealed that people orientation ($b=.222, p=.000$), fairness ($b=.351, p=.000$), concern for sustainability ($b=.432, p=.000$), and ethical guidance ($b=-.287, p=.000$) significantly predicted more of work deviance but power-sharing, role clarification and integrity predicted less of work deviance. Based on the findings, it can be deduced that leaders who see themselves as part of their subordinates are likely to positively influence their work behaviours where these subordinates might capitalize on the liberty being offered them to engage in bizarre work behaviours. Again, the findings of the study suggest that leaders who engage their subordinates judiciously without any form of bias can positively influence their work behaviours, where these subordinates might see their leaders as being too stringent with equality and eventually rebel and engage in unproductive work behaviours. Furthermore, there is an implication that leaders who strive to improve work output and sustain the workforce are likely to influence their subordinates' work behaviours positively. In contrast, those leaders who exhibit ethical guidance leadership by being strict, following work values and norms without given room for mistakes might negatively influence work behaviours of their subordinates, where these subordinates might think of personal and group norms before engaging in any act, hence the less likely to engage in unproductive work behaviours. Regarding the degree of contribution among the predictors (ethical leadership) onto the criterion (work deviance), concern for sustainability predicted better, followed by fairness and ethical guidance. However, ethical guidance predicted negatively on work deviance. The results imply that a unit increase in the significant positive predictors will lead to a unit increase in the criterion, and a unit increase in the significant negative predictors will lead to a unit decrease in the criterion.

The current study's findings indicate that leaders who exhibit the zeal for job sustenance, fairness, and people-orientation in the institutions they lead may result in deviant work behaviours exhibited by public sector employees. The findings of the current study disagree with the finding of Demirtas, Hannah, Gok, Arslan, and Capar (2017), Mayer et al. (2012), Mo and Shi (2017), Van Gils et al. (2015) and Yasir and Rasli (2018). These studies found that ethical leadership reduces employee deviance, indicating a negative link between ethical leadership and workplace deviance. However, the findings of this study have shown that when public sector leaders show ethical guidance by demonstrating job morality and faith, it is possible to reduce potential work deviant behaviours among public sector employees.

The finding of this study supports a study finding among Iranian workers conducted by Poursadegh and Faridpour (2018). The study showed that ethical leadership prediction on deviant work behaviour was significantly negative. The current study findings support several other studies revelations such as Aryati, Sudiro, Hadiwidjaja, and Noermijati (2018), that ethical leadership has the potential to influence the establishment of an ethical atmosphere in an organization, which in turn has the potential to impact deviant behaviour in the workplace negatively. The findings also suggest that ethical leadership impacts deviant behaviour in the workplace, either directly or indirectly, depending on the situation. Based on the findings,

it is clear that public sector leaders' ethical leadership positively and negatively predicts deviant work behaviours of public sector employees in the Cape Coast Metropolis.

IMPLICATION FOR POLICY AND PRACTICE

The study found that ethical guidance, role clarification and integrity as components of ethical leadership have significant negative influences on the deviant work behaviour of employees. With this, if public institutions' leadership shows ethical guidance, clarifies what subordinates are required to do and remain honest, workers in such institutions are likely not to revolt or exhibit deviant work behaviours. This might be ideal for the progress of such state-owned institutions. For progress to be made and productivity to be increased on the job, leaders need to exhibit the skills that will augment progress rather than courting the rift of employees. On the contrary, if leaders of public institutions exhibit ethical leadership skills such as people orientation, job fairness, power-sharing and concern for job sustainability, workers are likely to show some form of work deviant behaviours. Public sector employees need to be educated through regular workshops on job sustainability, employee responsibility, and acceptance of work ethics and morals to avert this situation at the workplace. Workers with objective mindsets for their job might not have any qualms with ethical leadership, hence the zeal to corroborate with leaders for job success and productivity. Based on the findings of the study, leaders of state-owned institutions in the Cape Coast Metropolis and other parts of Ghana need to engage in dialogue with various public sector workers to educate them on the need for them to play their roles in making such institutions problem-free between leaders and subordinates. This would help make workers feel ownership of the jobs they do and, in the long run, contribute their quota to the development of the economy.

Furthermore, it is also essential to educate public sector workers on their civic responsibility to protect and project state-owned institutions when allowed to work in them. Once they become aware of this, issues of leader-member scuffle may decline. Out of what literature presents (Vecchio, 2000; Nandedkar, 2011; Kim et al., 2009), it is clear that a positive relationship between leaders of state-owned institutions and their subordinates will reduce deviant work behaviours and increase job efficiency, effectiveness and productivity in the long-run.

CONCLUSION

The study's findings posit that other sectors and organizations, including public sector institutions in Ghana, must maintain, sustain and adopt ethical leadership, create leader employee relationships, and reduce deviant workplace behaviour to be effective and efficient. This goal was achieved through the current research, which aimed to add to the existing body of knowledge regarding the association between ethical leadership and deviant behaviour at the workplace, as it supports and demonstrates the importance of an ethical leadership style could lead to maximizing or reducing the likelihood that deviant work behaviour will emerge at the workplace.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR LEADERS OF PUBLIC SECTOR INSTITUTIONS

It is clear from the study findings that organizational deviance harms employee performance and presents a challenge to the Public Sector workers in the Cape Coast Metropolis. It is recommended that public sector leaders identify the root cause of work deviance in the organization and try to eliminate it from the organization by promoting clear communications and proper management practices to mitigate the occurrence of employee deviance in the workplace. Leaders must also treat all personnel with dignity and respect, and they must refrain from making distinctions between them. The implementation of sound management practices and the provision of just and equitable rewards are also effective methods of reducing work deviance since the application of justice in these matters reduces the occurrence of deviant work behaviours at the workplace.

Furthermore, public sector leaders in the study area should frequently define regulations for boosting efficiency, creating stability in the quality of services, and contributing to the supervision of the employees' behaviour; if the employees believe that these rules are unfair, they may choose to disregard them entirely. As a result, it is recommended that leaders monitor justice and avoid these destructive actions within the business to limit deviant behaviour. More so, the reward and compensation system has the potential to induce personnel to engage in illegal or unethical activities. Employees are mainly concerned with their benefits while competing for a reward, and they do not consider the immoral acts necessary for their success.

Leaders in the public sector should also fix the incentive and compensation systems by giving clear criteria regarding payments and the observance of justice and by establishing and enforcing rules to prevent the occurrence of deviant actions in the workplace. Additionally, culture is one of the most influential variables in determining an individual's behaviours and values, and it can impact the incidence of deviant conduct. As a result, it is recommended that the organizational culture be built so that it allows for the engagement of individuals while also providing them with organizational identities. Finally, ethical programs should be incorporated into the workplace culture.

LIMITATIONS

There are several limitations to this research as well. A good illustration of this is that the sample for this research was taken from public-sector institutions in the Cape Coast Metropolitan Area in Ghana. In this regard, caution should be exercised when extrapolating the current study's findings to a broader population. Additionally, current research investigated the relationship between ethical leadership and deviant work behaviours among public sector employees and discovered that some aspects of ethical leadership can increase or decrease deviant behaviour in the workplace, but that several other mechanisms can play a role in ethical leadership and deviant work behaviour in the workplace as well. As a result, no other relationship variables have been incorporated in the current research findings in this understudy structure.

Furthermore, the mediation of the components of the understudy variables was not examined in this study. The current study is based on a standard sample size, which appears to be very modest compared to previous studies. The current research, in addition, used online approaches to obtain the sample, which may be considered one of the study's shortcomings given the nature of the sample.

REFERENCES

- Addai, P., Avor, J., Ofori, I.N., & Tweneboah, D.N. (2019). Ethical leadership and productive work attitudes among micro-financial institutions in Ghana: Moderating role of organizational climate. *Management Research Review*, pp. 1–14. DOI: 10.1108/MRR-06-2018-0235
- Agnew, R. (2001). Building on the foundation of general strain theory: Specifying the types of strain most likely to lead to crime and delinquency. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 38(4), 319–361.
- Alias, M. (2013). *Predictors of workplace deviant behaviour and the mediating role of job satisfaction*. Thesis University Putra Malaysia (UPM): Malay.
- Allen, M. (2017). *The sage encyclopedia of communication research methods* (Vols. 1–4). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Inc. DOI: 10.4135/9781483381411
- Appelbaum, S.H., Iaconi, G.D., & Matousek, A. (2007). Positive and negative deviant workplace behaviors: Causes, impacts, and solutions. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*.
- Arthur, R. (2015). *Leader integrity: A predictor of organizational citizenship behaviour and counterproductive work behaviour among Ghanaian civil servants* [Doctoral dissertation] University of Ghana.

- Aryati, A.S., Sudiro, A., Hadiwidjaja, D., & Noermijati, N. (2018). The influence of ethical leadership to deviant workplace behaviour mediated by ethical climate and organizational commitment. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 60(2), 233–249. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-03-2017-0053>
- Bandura, A. (1989). Human agency in social cognitive theory. *American Psychologist*, 44(9), 1175.
- Bandura, A. (1991). Social cognitive theory of self-regulation. *Organizational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 248–287.
- Bennett, R.J., & Robinson, S.L. (2000). Development of a measure of workplace deviance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85, 349–360.
- Boakye, I. (2017). Effects of ethical leadership and organizational climate on employee job involvement. *European Journal of Management and Marketing Studies*, 2(2), 144–160.
- Boye, M.W., & Jones, J.W. (1997). Organizational culture and employee counterproductivity. *Antisocial Behaviour in Organizations*, pp. 172–184.
- Brown, M.E., & Treviño, L.K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), 595–616.
- Brown, M.E., Treviño, L.K., & Harrison, D.A. (2005). Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing. *Organizational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes*, 97(2), 117–134.
- Coyle-Shapiro, J.A., & Conway, N. (2005). Exchange relationships: Examining psychological contracts and perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(4), 774.
- Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M.S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 874–900.
- Dampson, D.G. (2021). Emotional Intelligence of Headteachers in the Senior High Schools in Ghana: A Conundrum? *Journal of Education and Culture Studies*, 5(3), 27–40. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22158/jecs.v5n3p27>
- Dankyi, J.K., Antwi-Danso, S., & Dampson, D.G. (2020). Psychosocial Factors and Organisational Culture Influencing Academic Staff Job Satisfaction at University of Cape Coast, Ghana. *International Journal of Psychology and Education*, 3(3), 223–242.
- Demirtas, O., Hannah, S.T., Gok, K., Arslan, A., & Capar, N. (2017). The moderated influence of ethical leadership, via meaningful work, on followers' engagement, organizational identification, and envy. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 145(1), 183–199.
- Elçi, M., Şener, İ., Aksoy, S., & Alpkın, L. (2012). The impact of ethical leadership and leadership effectiveness on employees' turnover intention: The mediating role of work-related stress. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 58, 289–297.
- Ely, A., Penney, L.M., Ispas, D., & Iliescu, D. (2012). The role of trait anger in the relationship between stressors and counterproductive work behaviors: Convergent findings from multiple studies and methodologies. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 61(3), 415–436.
- Golparvar, M., & Salahshoor, A. (2016). The structural model of the relationship between destructive leadership and tendency to engage in violence and deviant behaviors among the employees. *Journal of Organizational Resources Management Research*, 6(2), 93–123.
- Gruys, M.L., & Sackett, P.R. (2003). Investigating the dimensionality of counterproductive work behaviour. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 11(1), 30–42.
- Henle, C.A., Giacalone, R.A., & Jurkiewicz, C.L. (2005). The role of ethical ideology in workplace deviance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 56(3), 219–230.
- Hirschi, T. (1969). Key idea: Hirschi's social bond/social control theory. *Key Ideas in Criminology and Criminal Justice*, pp. 55–69.
- Hitlan, R.T., & Noel, J. (2009). The influence of workplace exclusion and personality on counterproductive work behaviours: An interactionist perspective. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 18(4), 477–502.

- Kalshoven, K., Den Hartog, D.N., & De Hoogh, A.H. (2011). Ethical leadership at work questionnaire (ELW): Development and validation of a multidimensional measure. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 22(1), 51–69.
- Kanungo, R.N., & Mendonca, M. (1996). *Ethical dimensions of leadership* (Vol. 3). Sage Publication.
- Kaptein, M. (2011) Understanding unethical behaviour by unravelling ethical culture. *Human Relations*, 64(6), 843–869.
- Kim, S., O’Neill, J.W., & Cho, H.M. (2010). When does an employee not help coworkers? The effect of leader-member exchange on employee envy and organizational citizenship behavior. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 29(3), 530–537.
- Kumasey, A.S. (2018). *Public service ethics, values and spirituality in Ghana: Challenges and opportunities*. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom).
- Lambert, R., Leuz, C., & Verrecchia, R.E. (2007). Accounting information, disclosure, and the cost of capital. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 45(2), 385–420.
- Liu, Y., & Loi, R. (2012). Ethical leadership and workplace deviance: The role of moral disengagement. In *Advances in global leadership*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Mayer, D.M., Aquino, K., Greenbaum, R.L., & Kuenzi, M. (2012). Who displays ethical leadership, and why does it matter? An examination of antecedents and consequences of ethical leadership. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(1), 151–171.
- Mo, S., & Shi, J. (2017). Linking ethical leadership to employees’ organizational citizenship behavior: Testing the multilevel mediation role of organizational concern. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(1), 151–162.
- Mohammadzadeh, Z., Mortazavi, S., Lagzian, M., & Rahimnia, F. (2015). Toward an exploration of follower's implicit followership theories of Mashhad's large organizations using a qualitative approach. *Iranian Journal of Management Studies*, 8(3), 397–419.
- Myjoyonline. (2020). *Workers of Ghana Airports Company demonstrate against alleged privatization plans*. Retrieved from <https://www.myjoyonline.com/workers-of-ghana-airports-company-demonstrate-against-alleged-privatisation-plans/>
- Nandedkar, A.P. (2011). *Examining the knowledge sharing and uncivil behavior of envious employees: An affective events theory perspective* [Doctoral dissertation] University of Texas-Pan American.
- Poursadegh, N., & Faridpour, B. (2018). The Explanation of the Effect of Ethical Leadership on the Employee’s Deviant Behavior with the Moderating Role of Organizational Envy: A Case Study of Petro Sina Arya Oil & Gas Company, Tehran. *International Journal of Business Management*, 3(1), 6–16.
- Radzali, F.M. (2015). *Factors associated with workplace deviant behaviour in a public organization in Malaysia and moderating role of religiosity* [master thesis] Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Robinson, S.L., & Bennett, R.J. (1995). A typology of deviant workplace behaviours: A multidimensional scaling study. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(2), 555–572.
- Salmani, D., & Radmand, M. (2009). Evaluating the role of organization and management in appearing deviant workplace behaviours. *Academy of Management Journal*, 1(3), 51–68.
- Schyns, B., & Schilling, J. (2013). How bad are the effects of bad leaders? A meta-analysis of destructive leadership and its outcomes. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 24(1), 138–158.
- Sims, R.R., & Brinkman, J. (2002). Leaders as moral role models: The Case of John Gutfreund at Salomon Brothers. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 35(4), 327–339.
- Smith, R.H., & Kim, S.H. (2007). Comprehending envy. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133(1), 46.
- Spector, P.E. (2011). The relationship of personality to counterproductive work behavior (CWB): An integration of perspectives. *Human Resource Management Review*, 21(4), 342–352.
- Trevino, L.K., Hartman, L.P., & Brown, M. (2000). Moral person and moral manager: How executives develop a reputation for ethical leadership. *California Management Review*, 42(4), 128–142.

- Tutar, H.A., & Mehmet, Ç. (2011). Is ethical leadership and strategic leadership a dilemma? A descriptive survey, 7th International Strategic Management Conference. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 24, 1378–1388.
- Vecchio, R.P. (2000). Negative emotion in the workplace: Employee jealousy and envy. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 7(3), 161–179.
- Yasir, M., & Khan, N. (2020). Mediating role of employees' trust in the relationship between ethical leadership and workplace deviance in the public sector hospitals of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. *Leadership, Education, Personality: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 2, 113–123. <https://doi.org/10.1365/s42681-020-00010-5>
- Yasir, M., & Mohamad, N.A. (2016). Ethics and morality: Comparing ethical leadership with servant, authentic and transformational leadership styles. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(4), 310–316.
- Yasir, M., Imran, R., Irshad, M.K., Mohamad, N.A., & Khan, M.M. (2016). Leadership styles in relation to employees' trust and organizational change capacity: Evidence from non-profit organizations. *Sage Open*, 6(4), 2158244016675396.
- Yeboah-Assiamah, E., Asamoah, K., Bawole, J.N., & Buabeng, T. (2016). Public sector leadership-subordinate ethical diffusion conundrum: Perspectives from developing African countries. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 16(4), 320–330.
- Young, J., & Bucklen, K.B. (2011). Social control theory. *Journal of Research in Review*, 14(1), 1–12.