

Leading With Principle: The Essential Role of Ethical Leadership in Adaptive Environments

**Nanci P. Askew
Purdue University**

In the fluid landscape of modern business, adaptability emerges as a crucial element for organizational success. This article delves into the dynamics of adaptable work environments, emphasizing the critical role of ethical leadership in navigating the transition from flexible to toxic work cultures. Drawing on insights from thought leaders like Arena, Heffernan, and Brown, and anchored by a case study of a start-up's evolution, the discussion illuminates how ethical leadership forms the backbone of adaptable organizations. The paper highlights the dangers of a toxic workplace and the transformative power of diversity and mentorship. It underscores the necessity of maintaining a balance between adaptability and a strong ethical foundation, illustrating the interplay between organizational flexibility, ethical leadership, and long-term success. This comprehensive analysis serves as a guide for leaders striving to foster sustainable adaptability, grounded in ethical principles, ensuring organizations not only thrive but also uphold their moral core in an ever-evolving business world.

Keywords: ethical leadership, adaptability, adaptive environments, values and principles, organizational dynamics, flexibility, innovation, core values, moral norms, integrity, open communication, organizational change, trust, workplace toxicity, employee well-being, transparency, continuous learning, diversity, psychological safety

LEADING WITH PRINCIPLE: THE ESSENTIAL ROLE OF ETHICAL LEADERSHIP IN ADAPTIVE ENVIRONMENTS

Adaptive workplaces are at the leading edge of the modern organizational landscape. They offer an atmosphere known for flexibility, fostering teamwork, and encouraging open dialogue. While adaptive spaces are a reaction to the evolving business climate and employees' goals for fulfillment, flexibility, and innovation, they still have challenges. Without proper guidance, these spaces can swiftly become toxic (Qualtrics 2023). Considering this, ethical leadership becomes indispensable, steering organizations towards constant evolution with a strong commitment to core values.

Ethical leadership is not just about following moral norms but about developing a culture where integrity is the undercurrent of every behavior. Leaders who balance organizational goals and their team's well-being realize that success is directly tied to ethical operations. Ethical leadership is the solution to uncontrolled behaviors and ambitions that could erode innovative work environments.

Consider a start-up in the manufacturing domain. While initially collaborative and adaptive, emphasizing innovation, transparency, and continuous learning, the company thrived in developing a

culture where employees felt valued and empowered. It was still vulnerable to internal tensions. Consider a hypothetical scenario within the start-up, where a cross-functional team with representatives from various departments is brainstorming a new project. A leader with skewed intentions could easily manipulate the openness of communication and the encouragement of diverse perspectives, turning an otherwise enriching discussion into a platform for promoting personal agendas or silencing dissenting voices. As Brown (2018) suggests, ethical leaders would act as guardians in adaptive spaces, ensuring adaptability does not reduce integrity.

The transformation in an adaptive workspace would only be limited by imagination. The narratives that people write for themselves could transform not only their professional lives, but personal lives as well. “The wonderful thing about narratives of all sorts... is they are malleable...” (Savvy Broadcasting 2023). When people are given the safety of an adaptive work environment and the opportunity to be their own authors, they can redefine their professional identity and shed limitations.

The transition from traditional organizational structures to adaptive workspaces highlights the essential role of ethical leadership. The start-up’s story is a cautionary tale: adaptability, lacking an ethical anchor, is risky. Ethical leadership is the touchstone, ensuring that innovation and respect coexist harmoniously.

Transitioning From Adaptive to Toxic: Unraveling the Organizational Dynamics

Organizations thrive on how things work internally and the challenges they face, but as they grow, workplace nature evolves. Adaptive environments cultivate innovation, flexibility, and teamwork, forming an exciting space where employees eagerly look forward to working each day. Instead of being a place where people dread going to work, these environments offer a surreal experience, closer to something you would read about but seldom experience. However, unseen internal and external triggers can subtly push these environments toward toxicity, affecting productivity, morale, and the organization's health.

Understanding the Adaptive Workplaces

At the heart of an adaptive workplace is Michael Arena’s ‘adaptive spaces’ concept. These spaces defy traditional hierarchies and promote a culture of learning and innovation by encouraging collaboration across teams (Arena 2018a). Furthermore, in her studies, Margaret Heffernan has stressed the indispensable role of social capital. Such environments prioritize building strong, trust-driven inter-team relationships, ensuring that open communication channels remain constructive (Heffernan 2012).

Brené Brown adds another layer to this understanding by emphasizing the importance of vulnerability and courage in leadership. In truly adaptive environments, leaders and team members alike are encouraged to showcase vulnerability, recognizing it not as a weakness but as a potent tool for growth and innovation (Brown 2018).

Concurrently, Adam Grant’s insights on promoting a “challenger” culture amplify this idea. He speaks of empowering individuals to question the status quo, fostering a culture of continuous improvement aligning with principles found in methodologies like Six Sigma, Kaizen, and Lean manufacturing (Grant 2016).

Vulnerability, when coupled with the courage to challenge status quo, results in an environment where innovation is not just accepted – it is celebrated. Working in a safe, inclusive culture with the free exchange of ideas creates transformative experiences which can change the trajectory of a person’s career and their life (McLain 2023).

Reflecting on a manufacturing start-up, these principles were exemplified by one of the founders. With a genuine passion for mentorship, teamwork, and a fearless pursuit of innovation, they created an environment echoing the teachings of Arena, Heffernan, Brown, and Grant.

Spotting the Onset of Toxicity

Researchers Padilla, Hogan, and Kaiser delve into the darker aspects of leadership that contribute to the shift to toxicity. They highlight the emergence of destructive leadership patterns where the focus deviates from collective growth to personal agendas (Padilla et al., 2007). Such leadership blocks innovation and can sow seeds of discord amongst team members.

No organization is exempt from challenges, and the shift from adaptability to toxicity can be subtle. Indicators of this transition include decreased open communication, increased employee turnover, lack of accountability, diminished morale and enthusiasm, and resistance to new ideas.

Decreased Open Communication

When energetic dialogues turn into secretive discussions, it is a sign of eroding trust (Moore et al. 2015). When open and honest communication changes to guarded and covert conversation, it reveals a fundamental shift in the dynamics of the team or workplace. The open exchange of ideas that were once a hallmark of collaboration starts to disappear and in its place is a culture of secrecy and hesitation. This type of shift not only impedes creativity and innovation, but also creates an environment ripe for misunderstandings and conflicts.

Increased Employee Turnover

A spike in employee departures, especially from key roles, hints at deeper organizational issues (Todorovic 2022). This sudden increase in resignations or terminations can be a significant sign indicating underlying problems ranging from dissatisfaction with management to inadequate compensation or lack of growth opportunities. When essential personnel begin to leave, it is often a sign of a disconnection between employee expectations and organizational realities. This trend can result in a loss of institutional knowledge, reduced morale among remaining staff, and a potential decline in productivity and innovation.

Lack of Accountability

When finger-pointing overshadows collective responsibility, it is a warning sign of potential dysfunction within the organization (Allal-Chérif et al. 2021). Shifting away from shared responsibility and towards blaming others can lead to a toxic work environment where trust is eroded, and collaboration suffers. The blame culture impedes problem-solving and stifles innovation. Ramifications extend beyond individual relationships and affect the overall efficiency and reputation of the organization.

Diminished Morale and Enthusiasm

A drop in team passion and drive indicates decreasing motivation (Hegde 2020). This decline in morale can be a symptom of deeper underlying issues within the organization, such as a disconnect between leadership and team members, or a misalignment of organizational values. As enthusiasm diminishes, productivity often follows suit, leading to a less vibrant work environment affecting the overall success of projects and initiatives. Employees who once aggressively approached tasks may become disengaged, further exacerbating the problem. The corrosive impact of workplace toxicity leads to a dangerous erosion of morale. Passion and enthusiasm for the job suffer in a setting marred by negativity, backstabbing, and systemic biases. These conditions also spotlight the damaging effects of microaggressions, subtle sidelining, and gaslighting, which further drain the team's creative energy and drive (Administrative Professional Today 2022).

Resistance to New Ideas

Cynicism or rejection of innovation signals a move toward inflexibility (Anderson and Brown 2010). Reluctance to bring in additional talent while increasing productivity requirements can indicate unrealistic expectations of existing staff or indicate a fear of change and inflexibility. Such an attitude can overburden current employees, stifling creativity and innovation while risking burnout. This hinders growth and weakens the organization's capacity to adapt in a constantly evolving business landscape.

Determining the Causes

Factors such as leadership changes, rapid organizational changes, questionable leadership ethics, and external stresses can cause the transition from an adaptive to a toxic workplace.

Leadership Changes

A leadership shift can disturb workplace harmony, leading to toxicity (Uysal 2019). Such shifts can foster negativity and dysfunction. These conditions hinder both organizational success and growth, ultimately affecting workplace well-being.

Rapid Organizational Changes

Explosive growth can alter organizational culture, while layoffs create an environment where employees feel insecure, profoundly impacting the organizational culture. Rapid growth may lead to confusion and a dilution of core values, while massive layoffs create an atmosphere of fear and anxiety. Both scenarios can erode trust, create resentment, and lead to a toxic work environment where employees feel disconnected from the company's mission and leadership (Kotter 1995).

Questionable Leadership Ethics

Leaders who disregard ethics and prioritize personal gain over the organization's well-being contribute to a toxic workplace (Lawton and Páez 2015). Team members may feel unsupported, leading to them feeling suspicious and creating a lack of confidence in leadership which can cripple collaboration efforts. Witnessing biased or sexist behavior from leaders demoralizes employees creating a hostile environment and diminishing enthusiasm. This affects overall productivity and contributes to employees walking out increasing the turnover rate and disrupting workflow. A self-serving or glory-seeking leader can stifle creativity, discourage innovation, and hinder growth (Uhl-Bien and Carsten 2007).

External Stresses

Economic or industry fluctuations introduce destabilizing pressures, creating a toxic work environment. These stresses may lead to uncertainty and anxiety among employees, impacting their morale and productivity. Whether formulating strategy or making hasty decisions, management's reaction to these fluctuations can further add to the tension. The lack of clear communication or perceived inequity in handling these pressures can erode trust within the team, leading to a contentious and unhealthy work culture. The cumulative effect of these external stresses and the organizations' response to them can form an environment where employees feel unsupported and apprehensive, giving rise to toxicity in the workplace. Researchers pinpoint other triggers like consistent burnout, cutthroat competition, or an imbalance between work and life (Cameron and Quinn 2011).

Furthermore, when leadership shifts I focus from the collective good to personal gain, it can intensify or worsen this transition. When leadership does not adhere to professed values, a disconnect occurs between organizational values and actual practices, breeding cynicism and undermining the success and stability of the organization. Observing the startup, the shifts become clear. Energetic brainstorming sessions became lethargic, and issues that had previously found resolution through dialogue began escalating. The small occurrences created an ever-increasing distance between the founders' original path for the company and where they were going (Savvy Broadcasting 2023).

Safeguarding the Adaptive Ethos

To neutralize toxicity, taking preventative measures is essential. Regular feedback, employee satisfaction surveys, and promoting a culture of open communication are vital. Ethical leadership must remain vigilant, taking decisive action when signs of toxicity emerge (Dorasamy and Anwana 2021).

In conclusion, the path from adaptability to toxicity is full of difficulties. However, with mindful and ethical leadership, organizations can stay innovative, respectful, and employee-oriented. This balance is essential for a thriving organization, and leaders must diligently protect it as the company evolves.

THE ROLE OF ETHICAL LEADERSHIP IN ADAPTIVE WORKSPACES

In today's ever-evolving business ecosystem, adaptability has transformed from a desirable quality to an absolute essential. Ethical leadership stands tall during this transformation, not merely as a guidepost

but as the compass directing organizations towards sustainable success. At the intersection of leadership and adaptability lies trust – a principle that is as old as leadership itself, yet still relevant.

Understanding Ethical Leadership

At a fundamental level, ethical leadership revolves around the leader's actions and decisions rooted in ethical principles, emphasizing the collective good over individual or immediate gains. As interpreted by Brown, Treviño, & Harrison (2005), it involves a “demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships” (p. 120). This is not a passive stance. Ethical leadership actively promotes such conduct through consistent communication, reinforcement, and decisive action. Building on this concept, Brown, Treviño, & Harrison (2005) introduced a holistic perspective, stating that ethical leaders wear dual hats: they embody moral principles and actively manage their organization in a manner reflecting these principles.

The Pivotal Role of Ethical Leadership in Promoting Adaptability

In today's dynamic business world, ethical leadership is essential for promoting adaptability, ensuring innovation aligns with values, and guiding organizations through uncertainties.

Building and Maintaining Trust

Trust is not a switch that leaders can flip on at will. It is a delicate relationship developed over time through consistent ethical behavior. In adaptive environments, where change is constant, the trust provides stability, promoting collaboration, innovation, and risk-taking (Todorovic 2022).

Innovation Within Moral Boundaries

The archives of corporate history are filled with tales of organizations that pushed the envelope too far, sacrificing ethics for innovation's sake. Ethical leaders ensure that while organizations stretch their boundaries, they remain anchored to their ethical roots (Reagan 2015).

A Lighthouse for Decision-Making

The business landscape is often murky, filled with uncertainties. Here, the ethical framework provided by leaders acts as a lighthouse, guiding teams through treacherous waters and ensuring decisions align with core values (Aubrey 2012).

Resilience and Continuous Evolution

Adaptive workspaces are not merely about evolving; they are about evolving in the right way. Under the guidance of ethical leadership, teams learn to view failures as steppingstones, creating an environment where learning and growth are constants (Seppälä and Cameron 2015).

The Dangers of an Ethical Void

Leadership without ethics can be compared to a vehicle without brakes.

Rapid Decline in Trust

The hard-earned trust can vanish swiftly under the shadow of unethical decisions, leading to a guarded, siloed environment against innovation (Nangoli et al. 2020). In a toxic workplace marked by an ethical void, trust, once painstakingly built up among team members, can evaporate almost instantly when faced with unethical leadership choices. This rapid decline in mutual trust triggers an organizational culture where employees become cautious, withholding critical feedback and important information from each other. The resultant environment becomes compartmentalized, or ‘siloed,’ where interdepartmental collaboration is reduced. Such a restrictive atmosphere hampers the free flow of ideas and actively stifles innovation, leaving the organization at a competitive disadvantage (Nangoli et al. 2020).

Sacrificing the Future for the Present

Unethical leadership, driven by immediate gains, often sacrifices the long-term vision, endangering the organization's future adaptability and sustainability (Boudrias, Rousseau, and Lajoie 2021). When leadership prioritizes quick wins at the expense of ethics, the organization is on a perilous path that jeopardizes its future. Leaders who are guided solely by immediate gains tend to make decisions that undermine long-term objectives, leading to unsustainable practices that can erode the very foundations of the company. This short-sighted approach significantly limits the organization's ability to adapt to evolving market demands and future challenges. In such an environment, not only is the long-term vision sacrificed, but the organization's overall adaptability and sustainability are endangered as well, leaving it ill-equipped to navigate future complexities. This kind of ethical void disrupts not just current operations but places the entire future trajectory of the organization at risk (Boudrias et al. 2021).

From Exploration to Fear

A culture of fear and apprehension replaces the exciting culture of exploration, smothering innovation and creativity (Abbas and Saad 2020). In a workplace marred by an ethical void, the exhilarating atmosphere of exploration and discovery is often the first casualty, giving way to a stifling culture of fear and apprehension. Instead of embarking on creative ventures and pushing the boundaries of what's possible, employees become preoccupied with avoiding repercussions, risk-averse, and overly cautious. This transformation from a culture of exploration to one of fear quashes innovation and leads to a loss of employee engagement and stifles the generation of new ideas. Consequently, the organization's competitive edge begins to dull, as both creativity and the potential for groundbreaking innovation are smothered. As employees retreat into their shells, wary of stepping out of line, the entire organization suffers from decreased adaptability, and growth prospects dim considerably (Abbas and Saad 2020).

Talent Departure

The brightest minds seek alignment between personal and organizational values. An ethical void can lead to talent drain, severely reducing an organization's adaptive ability (Beer and Nohria 2001). Retaining top talent in a toxic workplace plagued by an ethical void becomes increasingly challenging. High-performing individuals typically seek workplaces where there is a congruence between their personal values and the ethical stances of the organization. When they find themselves in an environment that lacks ethical integrity, the difference becomes unbearable, often forcing them to exit the organization in search of better alignment. The ramifications of such a talent drain extend far beyond the loss of skill sets. As these valued employees depart, the organization also loses its ability to adapt to rapidly changing market conditions and evolving industry standards. Furthermore, the exit of top talent can be a signal to remaining employees, potentially triggering a cascade of departures. Consequently, the organization finds itself in a perilous position, with diminished intellectual capital and a severely compromised capacity for innovation and adaptability (Beer and Nohria 2001).

Reflecting on the journey of the start-up company, it becomes clear how vital leadership anchored in ethics is to organizational success. The leadership approach of one of the founders, characterized by transparency, mentorship, and ethics, made certain that adaptability was an integral part of the organizational fabric. Considering the developmental trajectory of the previously discussed start-up, the significance of ethical leadership in steering an organization towards success becomes remarkably evident. The founders at that time had different styles, but one in particular demonstrated a leadership model steeped in ethical considerations, transparency, and a strong focus on mentorship. This was not just a feel-good strategy; it ensured that adaptability was woven into the very fabric of the organizational culture. Under this ethical leader's guidance, the start-up managed to attract and retain top-tier talent. This ethical leadership served as a magnet for like-minded individuals, creating a highly engaged and committed workforce. By fostering an environment where employees felt secure, valued, and free to share their ideas without fear of judgment, this founder set the stage for ongoing innovation and adaptability. As a result, the organization had a robust foundation, making it more resilient in the face of industry changes and more responsive to market demands. This stands in stark contrast to the toxic workplace settings where the

absence of such ethical leadership can cripple adaptability, foster mistrust, and ultimately derail the mission and vision of an organization.

Gender Equity and Diversity: Pillars of Holistic Adaptability

A truly adaptive organization does not just respond to external market conditions but also internal cultural shifts. In this manner, gender equity and diversity are not merely expectations of society but integral to an organization's adaptability. Welcoming diverse perspectives sparks innovation and presents a comprehensive lens for addressing challenges (Moura 2023).

In a truly adaptive organization, responsiveness is not confined to external market changes; it extends deeply into internal cultural transformations. Gender equity and diversity are more than mere societal expectations or moral imperatives; they are essential cogs in organizational adaptability and sustainability (USAID 2023). By deliberately creating an environment that not only welcomes but actively seeks a myriad of perspectives, the organization lays a fertile ground for innovative thinking and nuanced problem-solving.

Such an inclusive framework allows teams to tap into collective intelligence, which is infinitely richer and more dynamic than any single viewpoint could offer (Roy, Smith, and Johnson 2020). This enriched setting approaches challenges through a multi-faceted lens, allowing for more comprehensive and enduring solutions. The cumulative impact goes beyond the immediate benefits of increased creativity and problem-solving abilities. It generates a ripple effect, helping the organization to better anticipate future challenges, adapt to unforeseen circumstances, and seize emerging opportunities, thereby embedding a culture of sustainable adaptability deep into its core.

In Summary

Ethical leadership, in the context of modern business, is not just about sticking to a moral code. It is about weaving this code into the core of organizational processes, decisions, and culture. As businesses confront unparalleled challenges, the role of ethical leadership in promoting adaptability, resilience, and sustainability becomes not merely significant but crucial (Moura 2023). For visionary organizations, one truth stands out: to navigate the complex maze of the future, the guidance of ethical leadership is non-negotiable.

In today's rapidly changing business landscape, ethical leadership is the cornerstone for organizational adaptability and success. Ethical leaders prioritize collective good over immediate gains and actively instill these values within their organization (Padilla et al., 2007). This fosters trust, which is vital for creating environments conducive to collaboration and innovation.

Ethical leadership guides organizations through uncertain times, helps them innovate within moral frameworks, and instills resilience for sustainable growth (Lawton and Páez 2015). Conversely, an ethical void creates numerous problems, such as a decline in trust, stifling innovation, short-sighted decision-making, and talent drain, which compromise an organization's adaptability (Boudrias et al. 2021).

The text also emphasizes the role of gender equity and diversity as crucial components of an adaptive organization. An inclusive work environment fosters a culture that is resilient and open to varied perspectives, aiding in comprehensive problem-solving and anticipating future challenges (Seppälä and Cameron 2015).

ADVANCING GENDER EQUITY AND DIVERSITY IN EVOLVING WORK ENVIRONMENTS: AN IMPERATIVE FOR FUTURE PROSPERITY

Today's dynamic organizational environment requires gender equity and diversity not merely as trendy terms or moral obligations (Wellener et al. 2021). Instead, they stand as cornerstones promising sustainability, innovation, and adaptability for forward-thinking firms. Much like the intricate components powering a complex machine, these principles determine current workspaces' peak efficiency and endurance.

From the beginning, the start-up previously mentioned set itself apart with a clear and innovative vision. Their drive to root out workplace biases was not a strategic choice, but a genuine reflection of who they

were. By making certain that every individual – regardless of gender, ethnicity, or background – was not only acknowledged but genuinely esteemed, the start-up sought to channel the abundant mix of ideas, backgrounds, and viewpoints pivotal in today’s interconnected world. This was beyond mere political correctness; it reflected inherent belief in the value of diverse perspectives and not just a tactic for gaining a competitive edge.

Pioneers and scholars have repeatedly celebrated the merits of organizational diversity. Arena explains that adaptive environments encourage innovation by creating varied networks (Arena 2018a). Heffernan further emphasized that mere representation is not the endgame – it is the origin. The challenge and opportunity are in creating an inclusive environment where different opinions coexist, actively interact and lead to comprehensive and robust solutions (Heffernan 2012).

Adam Grant’s studies have enhanced this line of thinking. He spotlighted the pitfalls of uniformity, showcasing how conformity, resulting from sameness, can restrict innovation (Grant 2016). In diverse groups, creativity can grow, often leading to self-reflection, helping to improve current ideas, and starting new innovative solutions.

However, looming large on this self-improving pathway stands the relic of the ‘old boys club’. Kohler’s portrayal of this informal male-centric group serves as a stark reflection of persisting barriers in several professional fields (Kohler 2021). These cliques not only marginalize women and underrepresented groups; they actively erode the essence of an organization, like corrosion on machinery, resulting in a lack of efficiency, adaptability loss, and employee dissatisfaction, emphasizing the corrosive and harmful nature of such biases.

Seppälä, Cameron, Padilla, Hogan, and Kaiser further emphasize these prejudices’ profound fallout. They focus on the emotional and mental repercussions, highlighting how such environments undermine an individual’s well-being, sapping motivation, and inevitably, diminishing an organization’s overall passion and vitality (Padilla, Hogan, and Kaiser 2007; Seppälä and Cameron 2015).

In Conclusion

Moving into the next business phase requires flexibility, strengthened by real equality and diversity. Companies aiming for success need to understand that diversity is more than a social responsibility – it is key to future accomplishments. By embracing diversity and creating an inclusive environment, businesses set themselves up for greater success. Innovative companies, like the start-up mentioned, lead the way to a future where workplaces are profitable, forward-thinking, and fair.

THE CHANGING DYNAMICS OF A START-UP: UNDERSTANDING THE EFFECTS OF WORKPLACE TOXICITY

In corporate dynamics, an organization’s culture is its backbone. Much like machinery needs the right conditions for peak performance, modern businesses thrive in adaptive and inclusive conditions. However, when toxicity seeps into this structure, the impacts are profound and numerous. The degradation of the start-up serves as a vivid representation of this sequence, shedding light on the subtle dynamics that can redirect an organization’s path.

Decoding the Repercussions on Employee Well-Being and Output

Understanding the profound implications of a toxic work environment on employee health, morale, and productivity is essential to recognize its negative impact on an organization’s success.

Physical and Emotional Toll

A typical example of a toxic environment is its profound psychological repercussions (Cialdini et al. 2021). Employees in such settings often report escalated stress levels. Persistent stress, left unchecked, can result in an array of health issues, ranging from sleep disturbances and heightened anxiety to graver concerns like depression and cardiovascular ailments. Picture machinery operating incessantly at full tilt;

this metaphor aptly illustrates the unrelenting stress characteristic of toxic settings (Matos, O’Neill, and Lei 2018).

Eroded Morale

A dangerous offshoot of a tainted work environment is the decline in employee morale. Enthusiasm, passion, and a genuine love for the job fall prey to an atmosphere ruined by negativity, underhanded tactics, and entrenched biases, emphasizing the damage caused by microaggressions and unwarranted undermining (Uhl-Bien and Carsten 2007).

Burnout

Over time, the ceaseless demands end in burnout – a profound sense of work-related disillusionment, characterized by emotional exhaustion, growing cynicism, and detachment from professional obligations (Koropets, Fedorova, and Dvorakova 2020). This burnout impairs individual performance and ripple effects across the team, deteriorating overall productivity. The emotional toll can extend to employees' personal lives, affecting relationships and overall well-being. The organization also suffers, experiencing increased absenteeism, decreased job satisfaction, and a rise in costly turnover rates. Consequently, the toxic environment jeopardizes the long-term viability of the organization.

Exploring Operational and Financial Implications

Exploring the operational and fiscal consequences of a compromised work environment reveals the impact it has on organizational stability and growth.

The Revolving Door Phenomenon

An elevated staff attrition rate is a prominent red flag of a deteriorating work culture. The recurring cycle of recruitment, integration, and orientation is not merely disruptive but is cost-effectively taxing, presenting a problem for companies (Cannon 2022; Cialdini et al. 2021).

Productivity Paralysis

A direct correlation exists between morale and productivity. As morale decreases, productivity inevitably suffers, leading to diminished work competence, increased inaccuracies, unmet objectives, and a prevailing air of disinterest and detachment (Qualtrics 2023).

Navigating Reputation in a Digitized Era

In today's digital era, a brand's reputation is continuously scrutinized. Platforms like Glassdoor, which empower employees to voice their experiences, can either strengthen or severely weaken a company's image, influencing stakeholder interactions, alliances, consumer confidence, and finding talent (Cannon 2022).

Financial Difficulties

Beyond the overt costs of recruitment and dwindling output, toxic workplaces frequently confront legal difficulties. Accusations related to bias, harassment, and workplace misconduct can create substantial financial strains (Graham 2022).

The Start-up’s Trajectory: From Splendor to Decay

The start-up bore hallmarks of ingenuity, adaptability, and inclusiveness from its beginning. Yet, with the insidious onset of toxicity and especially the appearance of exclusionary cliques, its unspoiled narrative became tarnished. This was not an abrupt downturn but an accumulation of nuanced deviations that collectively reshaped its essence. When contrasted with Arena's concepts of adaptive spaces, the start-up's departure from its agile roots towards a static, resistant structure stands as a stark warning. The unchecked power of prejudices and deep-rooted hierarchies spread conflict, stifling ingenuity and leaving out different viewpoints.

In Summation

The transformative journey of the start-up describes the extensive consequences of a toxic work environment. These repercussions span from the individual areas of employee welfare to the expansive arenas of organizational direction and fiscal strategies. For businesses to truly prosper, like a well-calibrated machine, precise monitoring of cultural dynamics, periodic self-analysis, and unwavering dedication to foundational principles are essential.

NAVIGATING THE PATH TO ORGANIZATIONAL FLEXIBILITY: A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE

In modern business's dynamic and constantly shifting landscape, an organization's ability to adapt and evolve is like well-calibrated machinery. However, even the healthiest systems are susceptible to wear and tear over time. Within the organizational context, this often displays as a transformation from an innovative, flexible environment to one overshadowed by toxicity and rigidity. For visionary leaders, the primary challenge lies in the early detection of such negative transitions and actively setting the wheels in motion towards rebuilding and adaptability.

Deep Dive Into the Concept of a Flexible Workspace

The term 'flexibility' often echoes through corporate corridors, workshops, and strategic brainstorming sessions. However, its true essence is layered, capturing not just operational frameworks and methods but also the heart and soul of its culture.

From one vantage point, flexibility is like a chameleon's uncanny ability to adjust its colors according to its surroundings. This represents an organization's agility in adapting to market shifts, technological advancements, or socio-economic changes (Kossek, Gettings, and Misra 2021).

Another analogy draws parallels between organizational flexibility and the relationship between software and hardware. It underscores that an entity's guiding principles, ethical compass, and modus operandi determine its reactive and proactive capacities.

Exploring further details, there is an undeniable human facet to organizational flexibility. A truly adaptive workplace culture is one where vulnerability is seen as strength, laying the groundwork for groundbreaking innovations and robust solutions (Brown 2022).

There is an emergent mindset that advocates the reframing of setbacks. Instead of viewing challenges as mere failures, they are seen as invaluable feedback (Heffernan 2011). This perspective cultivates a fertile ground for continuous evolution and improvement.

A holistic approach ties the threads of flexibility and workplace positivity together. The argument is that a work environment emphasizing individual growth and collective morale becomes a source of adaptability and agility (Brown et al. 2005).

Detecting the Onset of Toxicity: Recognizing the Signs

Just as machinery can develop rust without timely maintenance, organizations too can subtly drift into the grips of toxicity. Recognizing the early signs is pivotal:

Exclusive cliques or circles, notably ones reminiscent of the 'old boys club', breed biases and create barriers against fresh, diverse perspectives (Kohler 2021).

Power dynamics, if unchecked, can suppress fresh thinking, diminishing innovative spirits and hindering progressive momentum (Cameron and Quinn 2011).

Another red flag is when staff metrics indicate decreased enthusiasm or participation in team activities. This could hint at diminishing morale and increased dissatisfaction.

Strategies for Organizational Renewal and Re-Invigoration

Restoring a faltering organization requires strategic interventions, from fostering psychological safety to emphasizing continuous learning and feedback.

Prioritize Psychological Safety

Leadership should actively foster an environment defined by psychological safety, where an open expression of ideas, concerns, and ambitions without criticism is standard (Sinek 2014). Employees are more likely to engage in innovative thinking and problem-solving in this encouraging environment. Such psychological safety lays the groundwork for building trust, not only among team members but also between management and staff. As trust deepens, the organization can more effectively navigate challenges, capitalizing on collective intelligence to implement ethical and adaptive practices. This combined effort paves the way for organizational renewal and reinvigoration, transforming a toxic work culture into a thriving, collaborative space.

Stress on Continuous Up-Skilling

Stagnant skill sets become liabilities in a constantly changing business landscape (De Smet et al. 2020). Leadership should sponsor and engage in workshops, seminars, and ongoing learning sessions. The educational initiatives serve two purposes: they boost team skills and shows the company is invested in its employees which can add new energy to the work environment.

Delegate and Empower

Decentralizing decision-making processes ensures quicker, more efficient outcomes (Cameron and Quinn 2011). When team members have the authority to make decisions, it increases the speed of problem-solving and enhances the quality of the outcome. This increases workplace happiness, creates a culture where people take responsibility for their actions, and ensures everyone is held accountable, strengthening the team's bond and effectiveness.

Diversity as a Cornerstone

In this era, diversity is not just a trendy term; it is the backbone of fostering innovation and tackling complex problems. By welcoming various perspectives, companies can benefit from diverse ideas and viewpoints (Johansson and Hastwell 2023). Not only does this enhance problem solving abilities, but it also promotes inclusivity and ensures that all voices are heard and valued. (Eisenberg and Riley 2001).

Feedback as a Guiding Light

Feedback, both supportive and constructive, should be actively sought. It serves as an invaluable tool, shining light on opportunities for improvement and recalibration for both the company and the employee (Moss et al. 2020). Feedback is more than a quick performance check; it acts as a crucial compass for navigating change and growth. Actively seeking input – whether praise or constructive criticism – provides actionable insights. These insights help align the organization's direction with the needs and morale of the workforce, while also identifying areas for innovation and refinement. Being immediate performance indicators, feedback also helps build a transparent and accountable work culture. Encouraging regular input from all levels within the organization cultivates a sense of shared purpose and communal responsibility. This proactive approach to feedback transforms it into real time diagnostic tool, enabling timely interventions to address minor issues before they escalate into systemic challenges.

Starting Small

Small shifts can lead to profound transformations. “Even small changes have big impacts” (Savvy Broadcasting 2023). Changes do not have to be abrupt to transform a toxic work culture into an adaptive one. They can be deliberate and gradual. Initiating minor adjustments can act as a catalyst for comprehensive change. This approach allows the organization to assess the impact and make necessary tweaks without causing disruption. Slow, incremental shifts also give team members time to adapt, promoting buy-in and lessening resistance to new ways of doing things. By focusing on small wins, leadership can foster a more agile culture that is more receptive to transformation, setting the stage for a shift from a toxic environment to one of ethical leadership and adaptability (Savvy Broadcasting 2023).

Future-Oriented Recommendations for Sustainable Flexibility

Adopting diverse leadership, mentorship, and transparent communication are key steps towards achieving sustainable organizational flexibility.

Incorporate Diverse Leadership

Leadership teams should be a mirror to the globalized world we live in. Infusing leadership with diverse perspectives enriches decision-making processes (Rock and Grant 2016). Leadership should reflect the diverse, global landscape in which organizations now operate (McCarty Kilian, Hukai, and Elizabeth McCarty 2005). A leadership team imbued with varied backgrounds, experiences, and perspectives enriches the decision-making process and models inclusivity for the entire organization (McCarty Kilian et al. 2005). This diversity at the top can serve as a catalyst for broader organizational change, inspiring teams to approach problems with greater creativity and openness. In a transitional phase from a toxic to an adaptive workplace, the importance of diverse leadership becomes even more crucial. Different perspectives can offer unique solutions to deeply rooted issues, enhancing the organization's capacity for ethical governance and flexible adaptation to new challenges.

Value Mentorship

The invaluable experience and wisdom of seasoned professionals should be actively passed down, crafting a legacy that ensures the organization's sustained growth and enthusiasm. Mentorship should be more than an organizational accessory; it should be embedded in the company culture. Experienced professionals possess a wealth of knowledge and insights indispensable for nurturing the next generation of leaders. Sharing this wisdom through structured mentorship programs creates a lasting legacy that safeguards the organization's growth and cultural vitality. In transitioning from a toxic environment to one of ethical leadership and adaptability, mentorship offers a dual benefit: it provides employees a constructive channel for growth, while also giving the organization a way to disseminate ethical standards and adaptive practices (Brown et al. 2005; Seppälä and Cameron 2015). By investing in these mentor-mentee relationships, the organization invests long-term in its sustainability and ethical resonance (Brown et al. 2005).

Advocate Transparent Communication

Crystal clear expression of an organization's direction, culture, and objectives ensures coordinated and smooth-running operations (Heffernan 2012; Wellener et al. 2021). Transparency communication becomes a pivotal cornerstone in the journey from a toxic to an adaptive workplace. Clarity in communicating the organization's vision, objectives, and cultural values enhances operational coordination and fosters a sense of belonging among employees (Wellener et al. 2021). In a transparent environment, staff are more likely to engage openly, sharing both challenges and solutions. This level of openness enables an agile response to issues before they escalate into bigger problems. Moreover, transparent communication is a preventive measure against misunderstandings that could otherwise brew toxicity. It allows for timely interventions, establishes mutual expectations, and creates a shared understanding of what ethical leadership entails. By championing transparency at all levels, from leadership to frontline staff, the organization sets the groundwork for sustainable flexibility and long-term success (Kusy and Holloway 2009).

Concluding Insights

The journey to reclaim flexibility from the clutches of toxicity is a marathon, not a sprint. It demands concerted efforts from every organization tier, from interns to top-tier executives. By staying committed, promoting clear communication, and sharing a common goal, organizations can regain their flexibility and become stronger, allowing them to deal with future challenges confidently. As Brad McLain points out, "The wonderful thing about narratives of all sorts... is they are malleable... even small changes have big impacts" (Savvy Broadcasting 2023).

CONCLUSION

In our fast-paced and often unpredictable business world, adaptability has transitioned from a supplementary trait to an essential part of the makeup of an organization (Arena 2018a; Heffernan 2012). This notion of flexibility and vitality, central to the effectiveness of modern workplaces, has been deeply explored and discussed by thought leaders like Arena, Heffernan, and Brown. They have widened the scope of what we understand adaptability to mean. To them, and many others, it is not just about temporary change or surface-level adjustments. Instead, it represents foundational shifts in organizational values and principles, even promoting the idea that vulnerability, often seen as a weakness, can be leveraged as an organizational asset (Brown et al. 2005).

Running alongside to this improved understanding of adaptability is the monumental importance of ethical leadership (Brown et al. 2005). Beyond the textbook definition, ethical leadership in the contemporary sense transcends just making morally righteous decisions. Ethical leadership acts as the oil that prevents friction in the intricate web of organizational dynamics, enabling adaptable teams to function seamlessly and efficiently. Ethical leaders do not just serve as figureheads; they are the trailblazers of core values. Their presence and actions create environments prioritizing innovation, resilience, and integrity. Moreover, their unwavering commitment stabilizes, ensuring that decision-making, even in turbulent or challenging times, remains firmly tethered to the organization's foundational ethical beliefs (Grant 2016; Kohler 2021).

You can look at the journey of the above-mentioned start-up for a ground-level understanding of the interaction between adaptability and ethics. Having started with a passionate mission to pioneer unbiased and groundbreaking innovation, their trajectory serves as both a cautionary tale and a beacon of hope. Beginning with a moral vision is commendable, but what is more critical are the daily operational choices that shape the journey. This evolving story serves as a reminder that even entities with progressive visions can inadvertently slide into archaic and counterproductive structures, such as the 'old boys club', a subject of extensive discussion and controversy (Kohler 2021). Without continuous self-analysis and proactive supervision, there is also the looming threat posed by unchecked power dynamics, which scholars warn can silently eat away at an organization's adaptive core.

Yet, it is not all cautionary. Embedded in these challenges are seeds of optimism and actionable insights. As echoed by one of the start-up's founders, the keys to course correction often lie in extensive inclusivity. This means not just demographic diversity but a rich tapestry of thought, perspective, and experience. Organizations can pivot by actively nurturing environments that champion mutual respect, proactive learning, and transparent communication, turning away from potential toxicity. Such stories underscore the transformational might of ethical leadership and spotlight its role as the protection against creeping negative dynamics. Giving people the opportunity to write their own identity narrative, employees are not forced to stay-in-your-lane as old hierarchies preferred, giving them the freedom to write their own future. Or as Brad McLain puts it, "they don't realize that the pen is in hand, and they are the authors," making the possibilities endless (Savvy Broadcasting 2023).

Building on this discussion, the works of Seppälä, Cameron, and their colleagues further emphasize the interdependent relationship between positive, agile work cultures and the holistic success of organizations (Padilla et al. 2007; Seppälä and Cameron 2015). Their research makes an undeniable case linking flexible workplaces with the overall well-being of its members, influencing organizational health and output. As a result, the mantle of responsibility on today's leaders carries considerable weight. They are tasked with the difficult challenge of fostering an environment suitable for adaptability, but equally crucial is ensuring that this adaptability is rooted in a strong ethical framework.

In sum, the teachings extracted from the start-up's experiences and the wisdom of broader organizational studies reaffirm that adaptability and ethical leadership are interdependent and mutually reinforcing (Arena 2018b; Heffernan 2012). As modern workplaces evolve and reshape, influenced by rapid technological innovations and constantly shifting cultural norms and beliefs, leaders are at a defining intersection. They have the choice to maintain a strong and unwavering ethical foundation as their

organization evolves, combining adaptability and ethics. This balance leads to lasting excellence, creating organizations driven by principle, purpose, and profit (Grant 2016; Wellener et al. 2021).

REFERENCES

- Abbas, M., & Saad, G.B. (2020). An Empirical Investigation of Toxic Leadership Traits Impacts on Workplace Climate and Harassment. *Talent Development*.
- Administrative Professional Today. (2022). *Detect Gaslighting at Work*, 48(12), 3.
- Allal-Chérif, O., Guijarro-García, M., Ballester-Miquel, J.C., & Carrilero-Castillo, A. (2021). Being an Ethical Leader During the Apocalypse: Lessons from the walking dead to face the COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of Business Research*, 133, 354–364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.05.008>
- Anderson, C., & Brown, C.E. (2010). The Functions and Dysfunctions of Hierarchy. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 30, 55–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riob.2010.08.002>
- Arena, M.J. (2018a). *Adaptive space: How GM and Other Companies are Positively Disrupting Themselves and Transforming into Agile Organizations* (1st Ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Arena, M.J. (2018b, June 13). *Scaling Agility with Adaptive Space*. Retrieved from <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/scaling-agility-adaptive-space-michael-arena-phd-1e>
- Aubrey, D.W. (2012). *The Effect of Toxic Leadership*. Defense Technical Information Center. <https://doi.org/10.21236/ADA560645>
- Beer, M., & Nohria, N. (2001). Cracking the Code of Change. *Harvard Business Review*, 79(10), 86–96.
- Boudrias, J.-S., Rousseau, V., & Lajoie, D. (2021). How Lack of Integrity and Tyrannical Leadership of Managers Influence Employee Improvement-Oriented Behaviors. *JBE: Journal of Business Ethics*, 172(3), 487–502. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04494-5>
- Brown, B. (2018). *Dare to Lead: Brave Work, Tough Conversations, Whole Hearts*. Penguin Random House.
- Brown, B. (2022, October 3). *Brené with Adam Grant and Simon Sinek on What's Happening at Work, part 1 of 2*. Brené Brown. Retrieved from <https://brenebrown.com/podcast/whats-happening-at-work-part-1-of-2/>
- Brown, M.E., Treviño, L.K., & Harrison, D.A. (2005). Ethical Leadership: A Social Learning Perspective for Construct Development and Testing. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 97(2), 117–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2005.03.002>
- Cameron, K.S., & Quinn, R.E. (2011). *Diagnosing and Changing Organizational Culture: Based on the Competing Values Framework* (3rd Ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Cannon, J. (2022). *Toxic Cultures at Work the Eight Drivers of a Toxic Culture and a Process for Change*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003307334>
- Cialdini, R., Li, Y.J., Samper, A., & Wellman, N. (2021). How Bad Apples Promote Bad Barrels: Unethical Leader Behavior and the Selective Attrition Effect: *JBE: Journal of Business Ethics*, 168(4), 861–880. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04252-2>
- De Smet, A., Tegelberg, L., Theunissen, R., & Vogel, T. (2020, November). *Overcoming Pandemic Fatigue: How to Reenergize Organizations for the Long Run*. Retrieved from <http://ceros.mckinsey.com/coronavirus-promo-video-desktop>
- Dorasamy, N., & Anwana, E.O. (2021). Ethical Public Leadership Surfing the COVID 19 Terrain. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 25(3), 1–12. Retrieved from <https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/ethical-public-leadership-surfing-covid-19/docview/2515192453/se-2?accountid=13360>
- Eisenberg, E.M., & Riley, P. (2001). *The New Handbook of Organizational Communication* (pp. 291–322). SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412986243>
- Graham, K. (2022, March 7). The Effects of Negative Attitudes in the Workplace. *PSP Metrics*. Retrieved from <https://www.pspmetrics.com/the-effects-of-negative-attitudes-in-the-workplace/>
- Grant, A. (2016). *Originals: How Non-Conformists Move the World*. Penguin Books.
- Heffernan, M. (2011). *Willful blindness: Why We Ignore the Obvious at Our Peril*. Bloomsbury.

- Heffernan, M. (Director). (2012, June). *Dare to Disagree*. Retrieved from https://www.ted.com/talks/margaret_heffernan_dare_to_disagree/transcript
- Hegde, A. (2020, December 7). Humanised HR - The Calming Effect on Employee Relations in Post-COVID World. *Business World*. Retrieved from https://link-gale-com.ezproxy.lib.purdue.edu/apps/doc/A644009656/GPS?u=purdue_main&sid=bookmark-GPS&xid=87045bd6
- Johansson, F., & Hastwell, C. (2023, June). *Why Diverse and Inclusive Teams are the Engines of Innovation*. Great Place To Work®. Retrieved from <https://www.greatplacetowork.com/resources/blog/why-diverse-and-inclusive-teams-are-the-new-engines-of-innovation>
- Kohler, L. (2021, April 22). *New Research Rinds the ‘Old Boys Club’ at Work is Real—And Contributing to the Gender Pay Gap*. Forbes. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/lindsaykohler/2021/04/22/new-research-finds-the-old-boys-club-at-work-is-real---and-contributing-to-the-gender-pay-gap/>
- Koropets, O., Fedorova, A., & Dvorakova, Z. (2020). *The Impact of Toxic Management on Staff Burnout*. International Scientific Conference “Far East Con” (ISCFEC 2020), Vladivostok, Russia. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.200312.251>
- Kossek, E.E., Gettings, P., & Misra, K. (2021, September 28). The Future of Flexibility at Work. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2021/09/the-future-of-flexibility-at-work>
- Kotter, J.P. (1995). Leading change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail. *Harvard Business Review*, 73(2), 59–67.
- Kusy, M., & Holloway, E. (2009). *Toxic Workplace! Managing Toxic Personalities and Their Systems of Power* (1st Ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Lawton, A., & Páez, I. (2015). Developing a Framework for Ethical Leadership. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 130(3), 639–649. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.lib.purdue.edu/stable/24703528>
- Matos, K., O’Neill, O. M., & Lei, X. (2018). Toxic Leadership and the Masculinity Contest Culture: How “Win or Die” Cultures Breed Abusive Leadership: Toxic Leadership. *Journal of Social Issues*, 74(3), 500–528. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12284>
- McCarty Kilian, C., Hukai, D., & Elizabeth McCarty, C. (2005). Building Diversity in the Pipeline to Corporate Leadership. *Journal of Management Development*, 24(2), 155–168. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02621710510579518>
- McLain, B. (2023). *Designing Transformative Experiences: A Toolkit for Leaders, Trainers, Teachers, and Other Experience Designers* (Leadership). Barrett-Koehler.
- Moore, I.C., Coe, J.B., Adams, C.L., Conlon, P.D., & Sargeant, J.M. (2015). Exploring the Impact of Toxic Attitudes and a Toxic Environment on the Veterinary Healthcare Team. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2015.00078>
- Moss, S.E., Song, M., Hannah, S.T., Wang, Z., & Sumanth, J.J. (2020). The Duty to Improve Oneself: How Duty Orientation Mediates the Relationship Between Ethical Leadership and Followers’ Feedback-Seeking and Feedback-Avoiding Behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 165(4), 615–631. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-4095-8>
- Moura, D. (2023, April 22). *The Benefits of Diverse Perspectives in the Workplace*. Retrieved from <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/benefits-diverse-perspectives-workplace-diane-moura>
- Nangoli, S., Muhumuza, B., Tweyongyere, M., Nkurunziza, G., Namono, R., Ngoma, M., & Nalweyiso, G. (2020). Perceived Leadership Integrity and Organisational Commitment. *The Journal of Management Development*, 39(6), 823–834. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-02-2019-0047>
- Padilla, A., Hogan, R., & Kaiser, R.B. (2007). The Toxic Triangle: Destructive Leaders, Susceptible Followers, and Conducive Environments. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18(3), 176–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2007.03.001>
- Qualtrics. (2023). *What is Toxic Work Culture & How to Address It?* Qualtrics. <https://www.qualtrics.com/experience-management/employee/toxic-work-culture/>

- Reagan, C.B. (2015). General Electric: Sacrificing Ethics for Profit. *Ethics & Critical Thinking Journal*, 2015(2), 130–162. Retrieved from <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=sso&db=ent&AN=108960782&site=ehost-live&custid=purdue>
- Rock, D., & Grant, H. (2016). Why Diverse Teams Are Smarter. *Harvard Business Review*, 4(4), 2–5. Retrieved from <https://www.agileleanhouse.com/lib/lib/Topics/Teams/Why%20Diverse%20Teams%20Are%20Smarter.pdf>
- Roy, K., Smith, D.G., & Johnson, W.B. (2020, December 31). Gender Equity Is Not Zero Sum. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2020/12/gender-equity-is-not-zero-sum>
- Savvy Broadcasting, dir. (2023). *The Inner Drive Advantage, How to Motivate & Transform with Brad McLain*.
- Seppälä, E., & Cameron, K. (2015, December 1). Proof that Positive Work Cultures are More Productive. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2015/12/proof-that-positive-work-cultures-are-more-productive>
- Sinek, S. (Director). (2014, May 19). *Why Good Leaders Make You Feel Safe* [YouTube]. Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lmyZMtPVodo>
- Todorovic, J. (2022, December 15). 7 Ways Leaders Contribute to a Toxic Workplace Environment. *Leadership Keystone*. Retrieved from <https://medium.com/leadership-keystone/7-ways-leaders-contribute-to-a-toxic-workplace-environment-688d9b73adad>
- Uhl-Bien, M., & Carsten, M.K. (2007). Being Ethical When the Boss is Not. *Organizational Dynamics*, 36(2), 187–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2007.03.006>
- USAID. (2023). *Delivering Gender Equality: A Best Practices Framework for Male-Dominated Industries*.
- Uysal, H.T. (2019). The Mediation Role of Toxic Leadership in the Effect of Job Stress on Job Satisfaction. *International Journal of Business*, 24(1), 56–73.
- Wellener, P., Reyes, V., Ashton, H., & Moutray, C. (2021, May 4). *Creating Pathways for Tomorrow's Workforce Today*. Deloitte Insights. Retrieved from <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/manufacturing/manufacturing-industry-diversity.html>