Leading Remote Organizations Strategies for Managing Effective Knowledge Sharing Within Teams

Jessica McFadden
Liberty University

William Lee McClain
University of Phoenix

Remote teams have become an integral part of the operations of global organizations in an increasingly digital era. The complexities of knowledge sharing and management within teams were explored. A focus was placed on the leadership practices that influenced complexities. Using qualitative insights from participants, the importance of transformational leadership in fostering a culture of open communication, trust, and collaboration in remote settings was emphasized in the study. The findings indicated that hat leaders who showed transformational traits such as charisma, authenticity, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration enhanced knowledge-sharing within their teams. Leaders' practices promoted knowledge sharing and positively impacted team productivity, job satisfaction, and retention. In remote work, transformational leadership was pivotal in ensuring effective knowledge management, underscoring its importance for contemporary organizations aiming for growth and innovation in a digital landscape.

Keywords: effective leadership, transformational leadership, knowledge sharing, trust, communication, collaboration

INTRODUCTION

The rapid shift to remote working during the COVID-19 pandemic has brought the challenges of managing knowledge in remote teams to the forefront. The transition to remote work, accelerated by the pandemic, has altered traditional work dynamics, introducing unique challenges related to supervision, social isolation, and communication breakdowns, impacting employee turnover and productivity (Davidavičienė et al., 2020). The lack of effective communication strategies among leaders in remote settings has been identified as a significant factor affecting knowledge sharing, productivity, and employee turnover (Sénquiz-Díaz & Ortiz-Soto, 2019). Emotional intelligence and transformational leaders are crucial for effective communication in remote teams. Lack of EI and transformation can escalate employee stress and turnover (Cortellazzo et al., 2019). Emotional intelligence enhances employee performance and productivity by fostering better team relationships and understanding (Quisenberry, 2018). Cultural differences can create misunderstandings and impede knowledge sharing in remote teams (Davidavičienė et al., 2020). Recognizing and respecting cultural diversity is essential for building trust and commitment among team members. This research project delves into the complexities of knowledge sharing in such teams, exploring the impact of leadership communication strategies on productivity and employee

retention. The research explores why some leaders struggle with effective communication strategies in remote teams, leading to reduced productivity and increased turnover. Investigating how knowledge sharing within remote teams can be optimized, considering the challenges of geographical dispersion and cultural differences. The research will employ a structured approach, including defining the problem and purpose statements, developing a conceptual framework based on research questions, and selecting an appropriate research method.

Problem Statement

The general problem is that leaders lack strategies for effective communication to manage knowledge sharing between remote teams, resulting in increased turnover and lower productivity. Cortellazzo et al. (2019) stated that despite a rise in remote work and less face-to-face interaction, leaders needed more effective plans to manage knowledge sharing to improve organizational performance, resulting in a fall in daily output. A recent study by Hyland-Wood et al. (2021) found that unsuccessfully implementing operative communication approaches within teams caused a notable downturn in work operations by management. According to George and Massey (2020), organizational leaders who should have prioritized communication plans essential in connecting teams experienced increased employee turnover.

The specific problem is the ineffective communication strategies in remote teams within the defense industry and developing recommendations to enhance knowledge sharing. The study seeks to improve employee retention, productivity, and operational success in this critical sector by addressing these challenges. The outcomes of this research could offer valuable insights for leaders in the defense industry and other sectors facing similar challenges with remote work dynamics.

Significance of the Study

Today's work environment increasingly emphasizes remote organizational structures, making organizations need to understand effective knowledge-sharing strategies to promote improved communication, enhance performance, and reduce attrition rates (Smith & Ruiz, 2020). This study provided insights into leadership strategies that facilitated effective knowledge-sharing among geographically dispersed teams, thus addressing the challenges of remote work arrangements. This section delved into improving leadership practices, the connection between Biblical principles and leadership, and strategic leadership practice. These topics shed light on how the findings fostered a conducive environment for effective knowledge dissemination, leadership development, and an organizational culture imbued with biblical principles. As a result of this study, a concerted effort was made to contribute to the academic discussion surrounding remote leadership and knowledge management. However, it also provided organizational leaders with actionable strategies aligned with biblical values, promoting an integrated approach to managing remote teams in the modern workplace.

Literature Review

The literature review aimed to understand the critical theme of communication strategies leaders in remote organizations employed to foster knowledge sharing and collaboration. The investigation examined concepts, including the effectiveness of leadership practices, communication, knowledge management's foundational concepts and theories, and the challenges and benefits associated with remote team dynamics. Leadership practices in remote organizations were the core of this scholarly examination: Leadership effectiveness theories and constructs served as crucibles for distilling the attributes of successful remote leadership. An array of leadership theories was explored, each providing a unique perspective on remote team management. The literature review explored the essence of effective leadership by comparing various leadership and communication strategies while carving out a multifaceted understanding of the phenomenon.

Leadership Practices in Remote Organization

Leadership in remote settings requires communication skills, motivation techniques, trust building, and knowledge management (Dirani et al., 2020). Leadership effectiveness in these environments is not just procedural but is deeply intertwined with these qualities.

Knowledge Management. The review highlights the pivotal role of knowledge management in remote teams—benefits include improved decision-making, problem-solving, and fostering a sense of employee belonging. Nisar et al. (2019) concluded that effective knowledge management is essential for organizational growth and adaptation to remote work challenges.

Challenges and Benefits of Remote Work Dynamics. Various challenges of remote work, such as geographical dispersion, time zone differences, and potential isolation. The benefits include increased flexibility and improved customer experiences (Garro-Abarca et al., 2021).

Communication Strategies. The importance of effective communication strategies in remote teams is a recurring theme. These strategies include clear documentation, regular check-ins, and technology to bridge communication gaps (Ali et al., 2021).

Trust as a Foundation for Collaboration. Trust is identified as a cornerstone for successful remote collaboration, linking it directly to leadership effectiveness and knowledge sharing (Steinmann et al., 2019).

Integrating Leadership and Communication Theories. Connecting leadership theories and communication strategies highlights how they work together to enhance remote team management (Ali et al., 2021).

Impact of Lack of Strategies. Addressing the consequences of inadequate strategies in remote organizations, such as decreased employee productivity, innovation barriers, and high turnover rates (Cortellazzo et al., 2019).

Leadership Role in Remote Organizations

Multifaceted Leadership. Leadership in remote organizations is critical for shaping culture, strategies, and functionality. Effective leadership is essential for the success and sustainability of an organization (Newman et al., 2020).

Transformational and Ethical Leadership. Transformational leadership is pivotal for implementing effective organizational knowledge-sharing strategies (Siangchokyoo et al., 2019). Transformational leaders create open, trusting environments, motivating and inspiring employees to share ideas and foster organizational growth.

Leadership and Knowledge Management. Effective knowledge-sharing management is essential for optimal results. Influential leaders use information technology to enhance organizational performance and foster environments conducive to knowledge sharing.

Ethical Leadership and Information Sharing. Ethical leadership is crucial for facilitating team members to share information and create a prosocial environment.

The Problem: Challenges in Remote Leadership

Adapting to Remote Work. Leaders face challenges adapting to remote work dynamics, requiring new communication strategies to keep teams integrated and functional.

Communication Issues. Ineffective communication approaches can hamper task execution, weaken organizational culture, and lead to higher employee turnover.

Concepts: Organizational Performance and Flexibility

Impact of Knowledge Sharing on Organizational Performance: Effective knowledge sharing significantly contributes to organizational performance, enhancing productivity, innovation, and employee satisfaction (Park, 2017).

Organizational Flexibility: Flexibility in organizational structures enhances communication flow and adaptability, especially in remote work environments (Flax et al., 2017).

Theories: Leadership and Communication

Transformational Leadership Theory. Focuses on motivating and encouraging positive team changes. It includes idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration (Al Harbi et al., 2019).

Communication Theory in Remote Teams. Addresses the challenges and dynamics of effective communication in remote teams, emphasizing the role of technology and the importance of establishing clear communication protocols.

Constructs: Trust and Leadership Effectiveness

Trust as a Construct. Trust is foundational for effective knowledge sharing in remote teams. It fosters open communication and collaborative decision-making (Breuer et al., 2019).

Leadership Effectiveness. Effective leadership fosters a knowledge-sharing culture in remote teams. It involves creating trust, providing feedback, and ensuring engagement (Krehl & Büttgen, 2022).

The comprehensive review underscores the complexity of leadership roles in remote settings, highlighting the interplay between leadership styles, communication strategies, and the importance of trust and effectiveness in leadership. This intricate balance fosters a conducive knowledge-sharing environment, improving organizational performance and sustainability.

Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework for this research centers around understanding the dynamics of knowledge sharing in remote teams, especially within the defense industry. The framework is anchored in two critical theories: transformational leadership theory and communication theory. This approach explores the interplay between leadership, communication, and knowledge sharing and how these elements contribute to organizational performance and flexibility. The conceptual framework thus integrates transformational leadership theory and communication theory to explore the effective management of knowledge sharing in remote teams. By examining the roles of leaders and team members, the constructs of trust and leadership effectiveness, and the impact of these factors on organizational performance and flexibility, the research aims to provide insights into how remote teams in the defense industry can overcome challenges related to knowledge sharing, thereby enhancing productivity and reducing turnover.

Theoretical Foundations

Transformational Leadership Theory. Transformational leadership involves leaders who inspire and motivate team members toward achieving higher goals and developing their leadership potential (Siangchokyoo et al., 2019). This theory is relevant in understanding how leaders in remote teams can foster a culture of effective knowledge sharing, thereby enhancing team performance and member engagement. Transformational leaders can create an environment that encourages open communication and trust, which is essential for effective knowledge-sharing among remote team members (Liu & Li, 2018).

Communication Theory. Communication theory deals with human communication processes and the impact of communication on relationships and organizational structures (van Ruler, 2018). The theory helps understand communication strategies' role in facilitating knowledge sharing in a remote work context. Effective communication is critical to successful knowledge management, influencing team cohesion, trust, and overall organizational performance (Braslauskas, 2020).

Key Concepts

Organizational Performance and Knowledge Sharing. Effective knowledge sharing is closely linked to improved organizational performance, with leaders playing a pivotal role in facilitating this process (Velasco-Villa et al., 2017; Park, 2017).

Organizational Flexibility and Communication. Flexibility in organizational structure can enhance communication effectiveness, leading to more efficient knowledge sharing and increased competitiveness (Flax et al., 2017).

Actors

Leaders and Team Members. Leaders are crucial in driving the culture of knowledge sharing and communication within remote teams (Newman et al., 2020). Team members' participation and support are essential for knowledge-sharing initiatives' success and achieving organizational goals (Han et al., 2017).

Constructs

Trust. Trust between team members and leaders is fundamental to successful knowledge sharing, influencing productivity and commitment (Kim & Yi, 2018; Soomar, 2020).

Leadership Effectiveness. The effectiveness of leadership in managing remote teams is a critical factor in determining the success of knowledge sharing and overall team performance (Eisenberg et al., 2019; Kayworth & Leidner, 2002).

RESEARCH METHOD AND DESIGN APPROPRIATENESS

The research design served as a blueprint for the study, detailing the methods and procedures used to collect and analyze data. Yin (2018) emphasized the pivotal role of research questions in deciding the right design. It was crucial in guiding the researcher to achieve the study's aims. The research question and the nature of the topic under study influenced the choice of research design. The types of research designs were fixed, flexible, and mixed methods (Yin, 2018). There are five types of qualitative research: ethnography, narrative, case study grounded, and phenomenological. The selection of the appropriate research design is guided by the study's goals to understand the dynamics of knowledge sharing and communication within a specific organizational setting.

Inappropriateness of Certain Research Designs
Fixed Research Design

This design, typical in quantitative research, is less suitable for this study due to its rigidity and focus on numerical data and statistical relationships. The complex and multifaceted nature of knowledge-sharing and leadership practices in remote teams demands a more flexible and exploratory approach.

Mixed Methods Research Design

While offering a comprehensive understanding through a combination of quantitative and qualitative data, there are better designs for the focused inquiry of this study, which primarily seeks qualitative insights into organizational dynamics.

Narrative Methodology

This approach, centered on individual life stories and experiences, does not align with the study's focus on organizational practices and collective dynamics.

Phenomenological Methodology

Focused on individual lived experiences and subjective interpretations, phenomenology does not sufficiently address the objective exploration of organizational communication processes.

Grounded Theory Methodology

This approach is geared towards inductive theory construction from data, which diverges from the study's aim to explore and understand existing communication processes.

Ethnography Methodology

With its emphasis on cultural immersion and long-term field engagement, ethnography is not well-suited for this study, which concentrates on dissecting communication processes within an organizational context.

The flexible case study research design is the most appropriate for this study. The case study research method allows for an in-depth investigation of the complex issue of knowledge sharing within its real-life context, using multiple sources of evidence. Case studies offer the flexibility required for exploring the nuances of knowledge sharing and leadership practices in remote teams, accommodating the evolving nature of qualitative research. The case study approach enables the integration of various data sources, offering a multifaceted view of the phenomena under study. It allows for examining processes and outcomes within the organizational setting, aligning with the study's objectives to understand the dynamics of communication and knowledge sharing in remote teams.

Research Questions

The research questions formulated for this study are designed to explore the multifaceted aspects of knowledge sharing in remote teams, mainly focusing on the role of leadership. These questions are crucial in dissecting the complexities of knowledge management and its impact on organizational effectiveness in a remote work setting.

Research Question 1. *What was the role of leaders in effective knowledge sharing?*

Research Question 1a. *What were the challenges faced by leaders while managing knowledge sharing?*

Research Question Q2. *What effect did a lack of knowledge-sharing strategies have on organizational effectiveness?*

Research Question RQ3. *What were the most effective knowledge-sharing strategies to increase productivity and retention in remote teams?*

POPULATION AND SAMPLE

In qualitative research, population refers to the entire group being studied. At the same time, sampling involves selecting a smaller subset of individuals or observations from that population that make inferences about the whole group (Vasileiou et al., 2018). A sample representative of the population should ensure the validity of any conclusions drawn from the data and should be chosen carefully to accurately reflect the characteristics of the population (Martínez-Mesa et al., 2016).

The study population focuses on leaders who oversee remote teams in organizations that have adopted remote work as an operational strategy. Leaders with at least one year in their current position, ensuring they have sufficient experience and exposure to the dynamics of remote team management. These individuals can provide insights into effective knowledge-sharing strategies and the challenges in remote work environments. The study uses non-probability sampling, specifically purposive sampling, which is apt for qualitative research focusing on in-depth, rich data collection from a specific population subset. Selecting participants based on their relevance to the research questions and objectives, thus ensuring that the data collected is meaningful and applicable to the study's goals. By focusing on a specific subset of the population (leaders of remote teams) and employing purposive sampling, the research is positioned to gather rich and in-depth insights. The ongoing assessment for thematic saturation ensures that the study comprehensively covers the nuances of knowledge sharing and leadership in remote work contexts. This methodological rigor will contribute significantly to the validity and reliability of the research findings.

DATA COLLECTION

According to Busetto et al. (2020), in qualitative research, the choice of data collection instruments and techniques played an integral role in ensuring the data's depth, richness, and credibility. Qualitative research data collection is a systematic process of gathering data from various sources on a specific topic for research (Busetto et al., 2020). Collecting data from several sources was used to find answers to research problems

(Lobe et al., 2020). Busetto et al. (2020) reported that semistructured interviews and organizational documents were among the most common methods of collecting data. According to Jones and Donmoyer (2021), semistructured interviews were the most common source for collecting qualitative data. Choosing a suitable data collection method was the key to getting reliable and valid research data (Zhang, 2021).

Data Collection Plan

Semistructured interviews are the primary data source, providing rich, in-depth insights from individuals in remote leadership roles. Surveys and organizational archived data supplement the primary data, offering broader perspectives and contextual background. Targeting leaders with at least one year in their role and non-supervisory remote workers with similar tenure ensures a knowledgeable and experienced participant base. Confidentiality and anonymity are appropriately prioritized, with coded labels used to protect participant identities. Flexibility in conducting interviews (Zoom et al., face-to-face) accommodates participant preferences and geographical constraints, with dual recording mechanisms ensuring accuracy. Employing multiple data sources (interviews, surveys, documents) adds depth to the analysis and strengthens the validity of the findings. The data collection plan and choice of instruments are well thought out and articulate a clear path to achieving the research objectives. Semistructured interviews, surveys, and archival data collection enable a comprehensive exploration of knowledge sharing in remote teams. The study is positioned to provide valuable insights into the dynamics of remote team leadership and knowledge management by employing a mix of data collection methods and ensuring ethical rigor in the approach. This multifaceted approach enriches the data and reinforces the trustworthiness and relevance of the research findings.

Instruments

According to Priya et al. (2022), the instruments chosen for data collection played a critical role in shaping the validity, reliability, and depth of insights derived from a study. Data collection instruments were tools or mechanisms that facilitated the systematic data gathering (Priya et al., 2022). In the context of qualitative research, the researcher often assumes the role of the primary instrument (Yin, 2018). The nature of the data needed deeply influenced the choice of instrument, the context in which the data was collected, and the subsequent utility of this data within the research framework (Roberts, 2020). The Interview Guide consisted of open-ended questions aligning with the research objectives, allowing participants to share their experiences and perspectives freely. Surveys used open-ended questions to complement interviews by capturing a broader range of experiences across a larger group, enhancing the comprehensiveness of the data. Reviewing organizational documents provides historical and contextual insights, supporting the triangulation process.

Data Analysis

Researchers used data analysis to check enormous amounts of data by reducing the volume of information, identifying patterns, and creating a framework for data interpretation (Popenoe et al., 2021).

Qualitative analysis, especially in a single case study, requires meticulous attention to detail, reflexivity, and consistency (Wolff et al., 2019). The core objective of qualitative analysis was derived from vast amounts of descriptive data, whether from interviews, archive documents, or surveys (Wolff et al., 2019). The approach to data analysis in qualitative research on knowledge sharing in remote teams is appropriately designed to elicit deep, contextually rich insights. It incorporates best practices in qualitative research, ensuring that the findings are valid, reliable, and relevant to the research objectives.

Thematic analysis, one of the most used methods in qualitative research, emphasizes identifying and analyzing such themes (Williams & Moser, 2019). This methodology transcended mere description and sought to provide a nuanced understanding of the data. Through an inductive approach, themes emerged from the data rather than pre-existing hypotheses, and the research remained grounded in participants' perspectives and experiences (Williams & Moser, 2019). This was particularly aligned with constructivism, a theoretical stance that acknowledged the role of human interpretation in knowledge creation. Constructivism posits that the understanding of the world is shaped by experiences and interactions (Kiger

& Varpio, 2020). Hence, thematic analysis, primarily when underpinned by a constructivist lens, provided rich, contextually rooted insights into the research topic. Ensuring that identified themes correspond with the research questions and objectives for cohesive and relevant insight. Combining narratives and direct quotes to present findings transparently and coherently showcases the richness of qualitative data. Initial reading and re-reading of data (transcripts, surveys, documents) to understand and identify patterns. Systematic labeling of data sections to categorize and structure the data using NVivo12 software. Recognizing repetitive patterns and concepts that emerge as significant themes. Adjusting and merging initial themes, removing unsupported ones, and finalizing themes with clear definitions.

Triangulation in qualitative research refers to using multiple methods or data sources to study a research problem, thereby enhancing the reliability and validity of the findings (Nightingale, 2020). According to Nightingale (2020), triangulation in research increases the likelihood of finding accurate results, presents a more comprehensive picture of the issue under investigation, and explores multiple interpretations of the topic. Methodological triangulation was used. Methodological triangulation in qualitative research is a strategic approach where researchers use multiple methods or data sources to study a single research topic or phenomenon (Farquhar et al., 2020). In-depth interviews are the primary data source, providing rich individual perspectives and experiences and achieving data saturation through interviews, ensuring a comprehensive exploration of the topic and integrating findings from semistructured interviews, surveys, and archival data for multi-dimensional analysis and identifying consistent themes across different methods and uncovering any divergent views.

Reliability and Validity

In qualitative research, establishing trustworthiness was paramount to ensuring that findings accurately captured participants' perspectives and experiences (Rose & Johnson, 2020).

Reliability

Reliability in qualitative research refers to the consistency and dependability of the research processes and findings (Collingridge & Gantt, 2019). Strategies like using a case study protocol ensure that data collection and analysis procedures are standardized and replicable. Reliability measures the consistency of outcomes over time and encompasses a particular fixed notion of stability of the results found that may be repetitive (Surucu & Maslakci, 2020). For a study to be considered reliable, it should have been possible for another researcher to replicate it using the same methodology and derive similar results (Moser & Korstjens, 2018). Trustworthiness is central to the credibility of qualitative findings. Implementing an external audit enhances the dependability of the research, providing an objective assessment of consistency and accuracy. Member checking allows participants to verify the accuracy of the data and the researcher's interpretations. Reflective journaling documents the research process and decision-making, enhancing transparency and introspection.

Validity

Validity concerns the authenticity and accuracy of the findings and whether the research measures what it intends to (Patino & Ferreira, 2018). An important aspect of validity was ensuring the study measured what it was intended to measure (Cypress, 2017). The validity of a case study was important, as it determined whether the findings truly reflected the phenomenon under study. Addressing construct validity, internal validity, and external validity is essential in case studies. Credibility, akin to internal validity in quantitative research, assesses the believability of findings from participants' viewpoints. Triangulation using multiple data sources corroborates evidence, enhancing credibility. Member checking validates the findings by ensuring alignment with participants' experiences. Conformability is achieved by acknowledging and documenting the researcher's biases, contributing to the study's neutrality. Transferability involves selecting participants with diverse experiences to provide a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon. Detailed descriptions of the research context and participants allow for assessing the extent to which findings can be transferred to other contexts.

Findings

An in-depth analysis of Leading Remote Organizations: Strategies for Managing Effective Knowledge Sharing in Remote Teams led to the development of this study. A thorough academic literature review explored the complexities of knowledge-sharing management within remotely dispersed teams. The data was collected through 16 semistructured interviews, 23 surveys, and five organizational documents. It was carefully sorted and organized. The themes discovered included (a) remote teams' challenges in managing knowledge-sharing and communication, (b) consequences of lack of effective knowledge management and sharing in remote teams, (c) decreased productivity and increased team member turnover in remote teams, (d) benefits of effective knowledge sharing, (e) effective leadership and trust, (f) strategies for effective knowledge sharing and management and (g) training and development for knowledge sharing and collaboration in remote teams. The seven themes discovered and the research findings precisely related to the research questions and included several data sets consistent with the literature review and research framework. The research findings addressed the general and specific problem of the potential lack of strategies leaders use for effective communication to manage knowledge sharing in remote teams in the defense industry located in the National Capital Region metropolitan area, resulting in increased turnover and lower productivity by revealing multiple strategies that leaders could utilize.

van Zoonen et al. (2021) mentioned that organizational communication value and communication strategies had affected remote work productivity, and a downshift in team performance has been a consequence. The leaders who participated in the study shared insights into their unique challenges, including maintaining an updated and accessible knowledge base, fostering trust in a remote setting, and ensuring equitable participation in knowledge sharing. The findings were used to shed light on leaders' challenges in managing knowledge-sharing communication, such as information silos, human resistance, and miscommunication. These challenges focused on areas where there may have been a lack of effective strategies. By linking the absence of proper knowledge-sharing strategies with adverse outcomes such as decreased productivity and higher employee turnover, the findings provided practical evidence of the problem's impact on organizational effectiveness. The research findings presented leaders' essential role in promoting and fostering knowledge sharing within remote teams. Leaders were found to be vital in setting the tone, choosing the tools, and creating the conditions that enabled effective knowledge sharing. The findings suggested strategies that leaders implemented to enhance knowledge-sharing communication. These included using shared platforms, developing standard operating procedures, employing task management systems, and implementing team-building exercises. The study indicated that managing knowledge sharing required a focus on the human aspect, involving trust-building, understanding individual behaviors, and encouraging open communication. The research showed how transformational leadership practices valued engagement, continuous learning, and open dialogue overcame challenges in managing knowledge sharing, thus mitigating the problem of increased turnover and lower productivity. The findings for this case study addressed the purpose of this qualitative case study, which identified the factors that organizational leaders used to influence effective knowledge sharing and information flow among remote teams in the defense industry located in the National Capital Region. The findings of the qualitative case study addressed the research questions and provided an understanding of leaders' roles, challenges, and effective strategies in managing knowledge sharing among remote teams. The alignment with the research questions showed that the study's findings focused on the research problem, offering conceptual insights and actionable solutions related to knowledge sharing, productivity, and retention in remote organizational contexts. The qualitative approach contributed depth to the understanding, making the findings highly relevant to the defense industry.

Discussions

Implementing professional practices could improve job satisfaction and the work environment of organizations (Bloemhof et al., 2021). Data collected, analyzed, and presented could also be applied to general leadership professional practices. The general problem addressed within this research study was the lack of strategies for effective communication to manage knowledge sharing among remote teams, resulting in increased turnover and lower productivity. The application to professional practice provided an overview

of the potential application of the study's findings for understanding the factors contributing to the lack of effective communication strategies among leaders in remote organizations necessary to manage knowledge sharing for successful team collaboration. The research study was conducted to identify the factors that geographically disbursed organizational leaders used to manage effective knowledge sharing among remote teams. According to Busetto et al. (2020), studying nature involves identifying factors and assessing their implications from different perspectives. NVivo was used for coding analysis. According to Zamawe (2015), NVivo was compatible with most research designs because it was not methodologically specific, and the existence of NVivo made it more compatible with approaches such as thematic analysis. The rise of using remote teams derived from several obstacles, including leaders' lack of expertise in managing information (Cordes, 2017). With the number of remote organizations increasing, leaders should regularly evaluate communication strategies best suited for their organization for successful knowledge transfer. The following paragraphs discussed improving general leadership practices from the study's results and the potential implementation of strategies leaders could leverage based on the findings. Leadership plays a crucial role in shaping the success of organizations across different industries (Campbell et al., 2020). Understanding general leadership practices, emerging trends, and challenges was essential for individuals aspiring to become effective leaders and for organizations looking to improve their overall performance. The lack of communication strategies to manage knowledge sharing in remote teams was challenging, but addressing the complex challenges improved general leadership practice. According to Bans-Akutey (2021), understanding and resolving issues could improve leadership practices.

Limitations

The study's focus on a specific organization and small sample size might limit the generalizability of the findings. Employing rigorous data analysis and acknowledging this constraint in the findings are key strategies. The varied locations of participants may affect the uniformity of data collected. Utilizing technology to mitigate geographical barriers and understanding each location's unique context is essential. Leaders' self-reported strategies might be subject to bias. Ensuring anonymity and using methodological triangulation can help mitigate this limitation.

Delimitations

Concentrating on a particular organization within the defense industry in Northern Virginia narrows the scope of the study. This focus provides in-depth insights but limits the broader application of findings. Choosing a small, geographically dispersed workforce of under 100 employees delimits the study to a specific type of organizational structure. This decision provides a focused exploration but may not reflect dynamics in larger or differently structured organizations. Focusing on leaders who have developed effective knowledge-sharing strategies narrows the inquiry to a specific aspect of leadership. This approach yields detailed insights into successful strategies but may overlook challenges faced by leaders who struggle with knowledge sharing.

Recommendations for Further Study

The topic explored in this research study was *Leading Remote Organizations: Strategies for Managing Effective Knowledge Sharing in Remote Teams*. Based on the study findings, further areas should be studied. The analysis of these findings suggests areas for future research, including the long-term effects of knowledge-sharing strategies, leadership styles on remote team productivity, and the impact of organizational culture on knowledge-sharing. Further research in these areas would contribute to a better understanding of leading remote organizations and provide insights into managing effective knowledge-sharing strategies in remote teams. The recommendations for further study of the leadership styles on remote team productivity and the impact of organizational culture on knowledge-sharing. The research findings from these sources serve as valuable references for developing recommendations for further study.

Leadership Styles on Remote Team Productivity

Different leadership styles, structures, and practices significantly influenced the dynamics of knowledge sharing in remote teams (Hussain et al., 2018). Given the profound influence of leadership styles on remote team productivity, future research could dissect and study individual leadership styles in more depth. While transformational leadership has been explored, other styles like servant, autocratic, and democratic leadership might have varied implications for remote team dynamics. By studying these styles individually, insights into their unique advantages and downsides in remote environments may be gained.

My study revealed that leadership significantly fostered a conducive knowledge-sharing environment and communication in remote teams. All the participants who participated in the interviews were always available to help and guide their teams during knowledge-sharing challenges. P8 stated that he always asked his team how he could help them succeed. This style delves into servant leadership and how this leadership style influences team dynamics in a remote setting. A project productivity report provided by a study participant showed a pattern that emerged where a portion of the team that worked individually remotely with minimal supervision had varied productivity results. The report summary showed that individuals with infrequent check-ins and a hands-off approach outperformed those who worked as a team and had frequent oversight. This style examined laissez-faire leadership and whether this leadership style could be beneficial or detrimental. The recommendations of leadership styles on remote team productivity stem directly from the study findings, ensuring that future research remained anchored to real-world challenges and opportunities. Understanding the relationship between leadership styles and remote team productivity could contribute to developing effective leadership strategies. Organizations developed strategies that enhance collaboration, innovation, and organizational success by emphasizing the importance of leadership styles and productivity (Cortellazzo et al., 2019).

An in-depth analysis of different leadership styles could further the understanding of their applicability and productivity in remote team contexts. As remote work often brings together individuals from diverse cultural backgrounds, it is imperative to understand how cultural dimensions intersect with leadership styles (Smith & Ruiz, 2020). This could include studying how leadership preferences vary across cultures and how these preferences influence remote team productivity. Leadership approaches affect communication patterns, information flow, and productivity (Hussain et al., 2018). Liu and Meyer (2018) hinted at the rising importance of tailored leadership development initiatives. Future research could evaluate the effectiveness of leadership training programs tailored to remote team contexts. This involved understanding which aspects of leadership training contribute most to the success of remote teams.

Impact of Organizational Culture on Knowledge Sharing

The impact of organizational culture on knowledge sharing played a significant role in deciding how knowledge was disseminated among its members (Sawan et al., 2021). As remote work often brings together individuals from diverse cultural backgrounds, it was imperative to understand how cultural dimensions intersect with leadership styles. An organization's culture functions as a lens through which its members view knowledge sharing (Sawan et al., 2021). The organization's culture decided whether knowledge was seen as power, a collective resource, or something. Understanding and nurturing the right cultural attributes was crucial for organizations that fostered a robust knowledge-sharing environment.

The study touched on the influences of organizational culture on knowledge sharing. The findings from this study provided a foundational understanding of the relationship between organizational culture and knowledge sharing. However, the complex interplay of several factors suggests these areas that merit further exploration. During an interview elaboration on a culture of openness and knowledge sharing, where in their team, it was always an open-door policy, even remotely. It was stated that they have never hesitated to share or ask for information. This suggested a culture of openness. Another interviewee acknowledged an 'Ideas Champion' award in their team. This showed a culture that recognizes and rewards knowledge sharing. By diving deeper into these recommended areas, future research could offer more granulated insights and actionable strategies for organizations to foster a culture that champions effective knowledge sharing.

However, within large organizations, multiple subcultures often exist based on departments, teams, or even project groups. These subcultures may have their dynamics, beliefs, and knowledge-sharing practices. It would be beneficial to explore how these subcultures play a role in the broader spectrum of knowledge sharing. Exploring how diverse cultural dynamics influence knowledge sharing provided insights into fostering a unified organizational culture. Mathrani and Edwards (2020) suggested that cross-cultural nuances influenced knowledge-sharing behaviors. While there was a general organizational approach to knowledge sharing, there were often distinctions and variations in practices within smaller groups. These distinctions could significantly affect overall organizational knowledge sharing and include studying how leadership preferences vary across cultures and how these preferences influence remote team productivity.

The study findings also showed that trust and cohesion were pivotal for remote team success. Understanding the cultural context became vital as cultural norms and values influenced trust. Guinalíu and Jordán (2016) emphasized the importance of understanding cultural nuances and tailoring leadership approaches. Internal organizational culture plays a pivotal role in knowledge sharing; external factors like market competition, industry standards, and regulatory environments also influence an organization's approach to knowledge sharing. A study examining these external factors and internal culture provided valuable insights. My study touched upon the internal dynamics of organizations. However, external pressures and environments shape how knowledge is shared and used. Mathrani and Edwards (2020) suggested that culture was integral to the transparent sharing of knowledge across remote teams to get effective information from leaders to team members.

CONCLUSION

An emphasis in this study was placed on identifying effective strategies for managing knowledge sharing within teams, as well as exploring the strategic facets of leading remote organizations. The digital expanse propelled a shift to remote working frameworks, which redefined traditional organizational structures. While this transition presented great opportunities, it also showed the significant challenges in fostering an environment conducive to knowledge sharing and collaborative learning. It was discovered that leadership played a crucial role in navigating remote organizations, ensuring a seamless flow of knowledge despite geographical distances between teams.

During the investigation, several strategic interventions were identified that were important to developing a robust knowledge-sharing culture in remote teams. The key to cultivating a knowledge-rich organizational environment was fostering transformative -leaders capable of fostering trust, instilling shared visions, and fostering open communication. Enhancing the effectiveness of knowledge management required establishing clear communication channels, leveraging modern technology tools, and encouraging a culture of continuous learning and adaptation. Also, the importance of trust and organizational flexibility in enhancing knowledge-sharing practices was highlighted. Open dialogue and effective knowledge dissemination require trust fostered by transparency, empathy, and consistent engagement. Alternatively, organizational flexibility enabled the rapid assimilation of new insights and adaptability to ever-changing external variables.

The relationship between effective knowledge-sharing mechanisms and improved organizational performance was also thoroughly examined. Through effective knowledge sharing, organizations harnessed collective intelligence to boost innovation, streamline operations, and enhance productivity. Leading remote organizations towards effective knowledge sharing requires a blend of transformative leadership, a culture of trust, open communication channels, and a flexible organizational structure. These findings offered leaders and organizations a blueprint for fostering a fertile ground for knowledge sharing, collaboration, and sustained organizational growth in remote organizations. The strategies described in this study provided a roadmap for leveraging collective intellect, driving innovation, and propelling organizations toward achieving their objectives as remote organizations expand.

REFERENCES

Al Harbi, J.A., Alarifi, S., & Mosbah, A. (2019). Transformation Leadership and Creativity. *Personnel Review*, *48*(5), 1082–1099. https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-11-2017-0354

Ali, B.J., Anwar, G., Gardi, B., Othman, B.J., Aziz, H.M., Ahmed, S.A., . . . Sabir, B.Y. (2021). Business Communication Strategies: Analysis of Internal Communication Processes. *Journal of Humanities and Education Development*, *3*(3), 16–38. https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.3.3.4

Bans-Akutey, A. (2021). The Path-Goal Theory of Leadership. *Academia Letters*, *748*. https://doi.org/10.20935/al748

Bloemhof, J., Knol, J., Van Rijn, M., & Buurman, B.M. (2021). The Implementation of a Professional Practice Model to Improve the Nurse Work Environment in a Dutch Hospital: A Quasi-Experimental Study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *77*(12), 4919–4934. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.15052

Braslauskas, J. (2020). Effective Creative Intercultural Communication in the Context of Business Interaction: Theoretical and Practical Aspects. *Creativity Studies*, *13*(1), 199–215. https://doi.org/10.3846/cs.2020.12094

Breuer, C., Hüffmeier, J., Hibben, F., & Hertel, G. (2019). Trust in Teams: A Taxonomy of Perceived Trustworthiness Factors and Risk-taking Behaviors in Face-to-Face and Remote Teams. *Human Relations*, *73*(1), Article 001872671881872. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726718818721

Busetto, L., Wick, W., & Gumbinger, C. (2020). How to Use and Assess Qualitative Research Methods. *Neurological Research and Practice*, *2*(1), 1–10. BMC. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42466-020-00059-z

Campbell, S., Phillips, S.C., & Phillips, D. (2020). Lack of Communication Between Management and Employees. *SIASAT*, *4*(3), 32–39. ResearchGate. https://doi.org/10.33258/siasat.v4i3.67

Collingridge, D.S., & Gantt, E.E. (2019). The Quality of Qualitative Research. *American Journal of Medical Quality*, *34*(5), 439–445. https://doi.org/10.1177/1062860619873187

Cordes, S. (2017). Share (and not) Share Alike: Improving Virtual Team Climate and Decision Performance. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, *28*(1), 29–48. Retrieved from https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/151743/

Cortellazzo, L., Bruni, E., & Zampieri, R. (2019). The Role of Leadership in a Digitalized World: A Review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*, Article 1938. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01938

Cypress, B.S. (2017). Rigor, Reliability, and Validity in Qualitative Research: Perspectives, Strategies, Reconceptualization, and Recommendations. *Dimensions of Critical Care Nursing*, *36*(4), 253–263. https://doi.org/10.1097/DCC.0000000000000253

Davidavičienė, V., Al Majzoub, K., & Meidute-Kavaliauskiene, I. (2020). Factors Affecting Knowledge Sharing in Virtual Teams. *Sustainability*, *12*(17), 6917. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12176917

Dirani, K.M., Abadi, M., Alizadeh, A., Barhate, B., Garza, R.C., Gunasekara, N., . . . Majzun, Z. (2020). Leadership Competencies and the Essential Role of Human Resource Development in Times of Crisis: A Response to COVID-19 Pandemic. *Human Resource Development International*, *23*(4), 380–394. https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2020.1780078

Eisenberg, J., Post, C., & DiTomaso, N. (2019). Team Dispersion and Performance: The Role of Team Communication and Transformational Leadership. *Small Group Research*, *50*(3), 104649641982737. Sagepub. https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496419827376

Farquhar, J., Michels, N., & Robson, J. (2020). Triangulation in Industrial Qualitative Case Study Research: Widening the Scope. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *87*, 160–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2020.02.001

Flax, C., Holko, K., & Stricker, L. (2017). Clear Expectations, Communication, and Flexibility: Unlocking the Potential of an Education Department Through a Nontraditional Staffing Structure. *Journal of Museum Education*, *42*(4), 314–322. https://doi.org/10.1080/10598650.2017.1371518

Garro-Abarca, V., Palos-Sanchez, P., & Aguayo-Camacho, M. (2021, February 17). Remote Teams in Times of Pandemic: Factors that Influence Performance. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*, Article 624637. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.624637

George, V., & Massey, L. (2020). Proactive Strategy to Improve Staff Engagement. *Nurse Leader*, *18*(6). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mnl.2020.08.008

Guinalíu, M., & Jordán, P. (2016). Building Trust in the Leader of Remote Work Teams. *Spanish Journal of Marketing – ESIC*, *20*(1), 58–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reimke.2016.01.003

Han, S.J., Chae, C., Macko, P., Park, W., & Beyerlein, M. (2017). How Remote Team Leaders Cope with Creative Challenges. *European Journal of Training and Development*, *41*(3), 261–276. https://doi.org/10.1108/10.2016.0073

Hussain, S.T., Lei, S., Akram, T., Haider, M. J., Hussain, S.H., & Ali, M. (2018). Kurt Lewin's Change Model: A Critical Review of the Role of Leadership and Employee Involvement in Organizational Change. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, *3*(3), 123–127. Science Direct. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2016.07.002

Hyland-Wood, B., Gardner, J., Leask, J., & Ecker, U.K.H. (2021). Toward Effective Government Communication Strategies in the Era of COVID-19. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *8*(1), Article 30. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-00701-w

Kayworth, T.R., & Leidner, D.E. (2002). Leadership Effectiveness in Global Remote Teams. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, *18*(3), 7–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2002.1104569

Kiger, M.E., & Varpio, L. (2020). Thematic Analysis of Qualitative Data. *Medical Teacher*, *42*(8), 1–9. NCBI. https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2020.1755030

Kim, M.-H., & Yi, Y.-J. (2018). Impact of Leader-Member-Exchange and Team-member Exchange on Nurses' Job Satisfaction and Turnover Intention. *International Nursing Review*, *66*(2). https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12491

Krehl, E.-H., & Büttgen, M. (2022). Uncovering the Complexities of Remote Leadership and the Usage of Digital Tools During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Qualitative Diary Study. *German Journal of Human Resource Management: Zeitschrift Für Personalforschung*, *36*(3), 239700222210836. https://doi.org/10.1177/23970022221083697

Liu, H., & Li, G. (2018). Linking Transformational Leadership and Knowledge Sharing: The Mediating Roles of Perceived Team Goal Commitment and Perceived Team Identification. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01331

Liu, Y., & Meyer, K.E. (2018). Boundary Spanners, HRM Practices, and Reverse Knowledge Transfer: The Case of Chinese Cross-Border Acquisitions. *Journal of World Business*, *55*(2) 100958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2018.07.007

Martínez-Mesa, J., González-Chica, D.A., Duquia, R.P., Bonamigo, R.R., & Bastos, J.L. (2016). Sampling: How to Select Participants in my Research Study? *Anais Brasileiros de Dermatologia*, *91*(3), 326–330. https://doi.org/10.1590/abd1806-4841.20165254

Mathrani, S., & Edwards, B. (2020). Knowledge-Sharing Strategies in Distributed Collaborative Product Development. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *6*(4), 194. https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc6040194

Moser, A., & Korstjens, I. (2018). Series: Practical Guidance to Qualitative Research. Part 3: Sampling, Data Collection and Analysis. *European Journal of General Practice*, *24*(1), 9–18. Tandfonline. https://doi.org/10.1080/13814788.2017.1375091

Newman, S.A., Ford, R.C., & Marshall, G.W. (2020). Virtual Team Leader Communication: Employee Perception and Organizational Reality. *International Journal of Business Communication*, *57*(4), 452–473. https://doi.org/10.1177/2329488419829895

Nightingale, A.J. (2020). Triangulation. *International Encyclopedia of Human Geography*, *2*(4), 477–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-102295-5.10437-8

Nisar, T.M., Prabhakar, G., & Strakova, L. (2019). Social Media Information Benefits, Knowledge Management, and Smart Organizations. *Journal of Business Research*, *94*, 264-272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.05.005

Park, R. (2017). Exploring the Link Between Top-Down Information Sharing and Organizational Performance: The Moderating Role of Flexible Manufacturing Strategy. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 27(4), 598–613. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12142

Patino, C.M., & Ferreira, J.C. (2018). Internal and External Validity: Can you Apply Research Study Results to your Patients? *Jornal Brasileiro de Pneumologia*, *44*(3), 183–183. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1806-37562018000000164

Popenoe, R., Langius-Eklöf, A., Stenwall, E., & Jervaeus, A. (2021). A Practical Guide to Data Analysis in General Literature Reviews. *Nordic Journal of Nursing Research*, *41*(4), 175–186. https://doi.org/10.1177/2057158521991949

Priya, G.L., Rajeshkumar, S., Gomathi, A.S., & Sindhu, R. (2022). A Comprehensive Survey of Data Collection Methods and Tools for Research Novices. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, *2444*(1). https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0078971

Quisenberry, W.L. (2018). Exploring how Emotional Intelligence Contributes to Virtual Teams: Interpretive Analysis of a Phenomenological Study. *European Scientific Journal, ESJ*, *14*(5), 19. https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2018.v14n5p19

Roberts, R. (2020). Qualitative Interview Questions: Guidance for Novice Researchers. *The Qualitative Report*, *25*(9). https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2020.4640

Rose, J., & Johnson, C.W. (2020). Contextualizing Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research: Toward More Rigorous and Trustworthy Qualitative Social Science in Leisure Research. *Journal of Leisure Research*, *51*(4), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222216.2020.1722042

Sawan, F., Suryadi, A., & Nurhattatik M., (2021). Impact of Organizational Culture on Knowledge Sharing Behavior. *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Research of Educational Administration and Management (ICREAM 2020)*. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210212.073

Sénquiz-Díaz, C., & Ortiz-Soto, M. (2019). A Multifold Perspective of Knowledge Sharing and Virtual Teams: The Development of an IMOI Model. *Journal of Technology Management & Innovation*, *14*(2), 88–96. https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-27242019000200088

Siangchokyoo, N., Klinger, R.L., & Campion, E.D. (2019). Follower Transformation as the Linchpin of Transformational Leadership Theory: A Systematic Review and Future Research Agenda. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *31*(1), 101341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101341

Smith, S.M., & Ruiz, J. (2020). Challenges and Barriers in Virtual Teams: A Literature Review. *SN Applied Sciences*, *2*(6). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-2801-5

Soomar, Z. (2020). A Framework for Building and Maintain Trust in Remote and Virtual Teams. *F1000Research*, *9*, 1187. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.26626.1

Steinmann, B., Klug, H.J.P., & Maier, G.W. (2019). The Path is the Goal: How Transformational Leaders Enhance Followers' Job Attitudes and Proactive Behavior. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *9*(2338). NCBI. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02338

Surucu, L., & Maslakci, A. (2020). Validity and Reliability in Quantitative Research. *Business & Management Studies: An International Journal*, *8*(3), 2694–2726. https://doi.org/10.15295/bmij.v8i3.1540

van Ruler, B. (2018). Communication Theory: An Underrated Pillar on which Strategic Communication Rests. *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, *12*(4), 367–381. https://doi.org/10.1080/1553118x.2018.1452240

van Zoonen, W., Sivunen, A., Blomqvist, K., Olsson, T., Ropponen, A., Henttonen, K., & Vartiainen, M. (2021). Factors Influencing Adjustment to Remote Work: Employees' Initial Responses to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(13), 6966. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18136966

Vasileiou, K., Barnett, J., Thorpe, S., & Young, T. (2018). Characterising and Justifying Sample Size Sufficiency in Interview-Based Studies: Systematic Analysis of Qualitative Health Research over a 15-Year Period. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *18*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0594-7

Velasco-Villa, A., Escobar, L.E., Sanchez, A., Shi, M., Streicker, D.G., Gallardo-Romero, N.F., . . . Emerson, G. (2017). Successful Strategies Implemented Towards the Elimination of Canine Rabies in the Western Hemisphere. *Antiviral Research*, *143*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2017.03.023

Williams, M., & Moser, T. (2019). The Art of Coding and Thematic Exploration in Qualitative Research. *International Management Review*, *15*(1), 45–55, 71–72. Retrieved from https://go.openathens.net/redirector/liberty.edu?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/art-coding-thematic-exploration-qualitative/docview/2210886420/se-2

Wolff, B., Mahoney, F., Lohiniva, A.L., & Corkum, M. (2019). Collecting and Analyzing Qualitative Data. *The CDC Field Epidemiology Manual*, pp. 213–228. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190933692.003.0010

Yin, R.K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods* (6th Ed.). SAGE Publications.

Zamawe, F. (2015). The Implication of Using NVivo Software in Qualitative Data Analysis: Evidence-Based Reflections. *Malawi Medical Journal*, *27*(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.4314/mmj.v27i1.4

Zhang, Y. (2021). Book review: Data Collection Research Methods in Applied Linguistics. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.668712